

## 4 Quantum electrodynamics

### 4.1 Gauge transformation, Aharonov-Bohm effect & Byers-Yang theorem

Consider the Hamiltonian of an electron in a magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$ ,

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} [\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})]^2.$$

a) Show that the gauge transformation  $\mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) + \nabla\chi(\mathbf{r})$  of the vector potential is equivalent to a unitary transformation  $H = UHU^{-1}$  of the Hamiltonian, so it leaves all physical properties invariant.

A ring enclosing a line of magnetic flux  $\Phi$  at the origin has vector potential  $\mathbf{A}(r, \phi) = (\Phi/2\pi r)\hat{\phi}$  in polar coordinates. Because  $\mathbf{B} = 0$  for all  $r \neq 0$ , we can perform a gauge transformation with  $\chi(r, \phi) = (\Phi/2\pi)\phi$  that removes the vector potential from the ring,  $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla\chi = 0$  for  $r \neq 0$ .

b) Explain why this does not invalidate the existence of the Aharonov-Bohm effect.

c) Derive the *Byers-Yang theorem* that all physical properties are periodic in  $\Phi$  with period  $h/e$ .

### 4.2 Persistent currents

Consider a ring (radius  $R$ ) enclosing a magnetic flux  $\Phi$ . For simplicity, we assume that the ring is one-dimensional and take the coordinate  $x$  along the ring,  $0 < x < L$  ( $L = 2\pi R$ ). The single-electron Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m} (\hat{p} - eA)^2 + V(\hat{x}),$$

with vector potential  $A = \Phi/L$  and electrical potential  $V(\hat{x})$ . The first term in the Hamiltonian is the kinetic energy  $\frac{1}{2}m\hat{v}^2$ , with velocity operator  $\hat{v} = (\hat{p} - eA)/m$ . The corresponding electrical<sup>1</sup> current operator is  $\hat{I} = e\hat{v}/L$ .

a) Use the Hellmann-Feynman theorem to prove that the expectation value  $I_0 = \langle \hat{I} \rangle_0$  of the electrical current operator in the ground state equals the derivative of the ground state energy  $E_0$  with respect to the flux,

$$I_0 = -\frac{dE_0}{d\Phi}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>To avoid confusion with minus signs, we take the electron charge as  $e$ .

This current will not decay, because the ground state is time-independent, so it is a *persistent* current even if the electron is scattered as it moves along the ring (an unexpected discovery in a non-superconducting system by Büttiker, Imry, and Landauer).

b) Show that the persistent current  $I_0(\Phi)$  is periodic in  $\Phi$  with period  $h/e$ , as required by the Byers-Yang theorem.

*Hint:* Examine the effect of the unitary transformation  $\hat{H} \mapsto \hat{U}\hat{H}\hat{U}^{-1}$  with  $\hat{U} = \exp(2\pi i \hat{x}/L)$ .

c) Calculate the magnitude of the persistent current in the simplest case  $V \equiv 0$  of a free particle. At what value of  $\Phi$  is it largest?

*Hint:* Take notice of the periodic boundary condition  $\psi(x) = \psi(x+L)$  when searching for a plane-wave eigenstate  $\psi(x) = L^{-1/2}e^{ikx}$ .

### 4.3 Casimir effect

Two parallel metallic plates in the  $x$ - $y$  plane are separated by a distance  $d$ . The plates are uncharged and the electromagnetic field is in the vacuum state, so one would not expect the energy of the system to depend on  $d$ . In fact it does, as discovered by Casimir (1948), because of the  $d$ -dependence of the vacuum energy.

The zero-point energy of a photon of wave vector  $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$  and frequency  $\omega = c|\mathbf{k}|$  is  $E(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega = \frac{1}{2}\hbar c|\mathbf{k}|$ . In free space the energy density  $\mathcal{E}_0$  (energy per unit volume) is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = 2 \times \frac{1}{2}\hbar c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_y}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_z}{2\pi} (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2)^{1/2}.$$

The factor 2 in front accounts for the two photon polarizations. The integrals diverge without a high-frequency cutoff, which we will introduce later on.

a) Use periodic boundary conditions to explain the factors of  $2\pi$  in the denominator.

Because the wave function must vanish on the metallic plates at  $z = 0$  and  $z = d$ , the wave vector component  $k_z$  perpendicular to the plates must be an integer multiple of  $\pi/d$ , so the expression for the energy density between the plates reads

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{plates}} = 2 \times \frac{1}{2}\hbar c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_y}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + n^2\pi^2/d^2)^{1/2}.$$

b) Check that in the limit  $d \rightarrow \infty$  the expression for  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is recovered.

c) Transform to polar coordinates in the  $k_x$ - $k_y$  and derive the following expression for the difference  $\delta\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\text{plates}} - \mathcal{E}_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{E} &= \frac{\hbar c\pi^2}{8d^4} \int_0^\infty du \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty - \int_{-\infty}^\infty dn \right) (u+n^2)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{\hbar c\pi^2}{4d^4} \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty - \int_{-\infty}^\infty dn \right) \int_{|n|}^\infty \omega^2 d\omega.\end{aligned}$$

We now introduce the high-frequency cutoff, in the form of a function  $F(\omega)$  that equals 1 for  $\omega \lesssim \omega_c$ , while it vanishes smoothly for  $\omega \gg \omega_c$ . The cutoff frequency  $\omega_c$  is the plasma frequency, above which metals become transparent for electromagnetic radiation. We rewrite  $\delta\mathcal{E}$  as

$$\delta\mathcal{E} = \frac{\hbar c\pi^2}{2d^4} \left( \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}(0) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}(n) - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}(n) dn \right), \quad \mathcal{F}(n) = \int_n^\infty F(\omega)\omega^2 d\omega.$$

d) Evaluate the difference between sum and integral using the Euler-MacLaurin formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}(n) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}(n) dn + \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{F}(\infty) - \mathcal{F}(0)] + \sum_{p=1}^\infty \frac{B_{2p}}{(2p)!} [\mathcal{F}^{(2p-1)}(\infty) - \mathcal{F}^{(2p-1)}(0)],$$

where  $B_2, B_4, B_6, \dots = \frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{30}, \frac{1}{42}, \dots$  is a Bernoulli number and  $\mathcal{F}^{(p)}$  is the  $p$ -th derivative.

e) Explain why the result  $\delta\mathcal{E} = -\frac{\hbar c\pi^2}{720d^4}$  implies an attractive force between the plates, given per unit area by

$$F_{\text{Casimir}} = \frac{\hbar c\pi^2}{240d^4}.$$