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INTRODUCTION.

The investigation of paramagnetism, in particular as a function of the temperature, is of great interest on account of the valuable information which it can contribute to the study of two problems of outstanding importance in modern physics viz: — the internal constitution of the atom and the energy relationships of the atoms of a solid as functions of the temperature. In both these problems we are brought into immediate contact with the present day developments of the quantum theory and it is of the greatest interest and importance to determine in how far this theory as it exists at present can furnish an explanation of the phenomena of paramagnetism and especially whether the quantum theory can, firstly, explain the phenomena previously explained in terms of the classical theories and secondly those for which the classical theory failed to give an explanation.

In connection with the first of these problems to which the study of paramagnetism can bring data of value, we may note that, according to any of the theories of paramagnetism, the experimental results enable us to evaluate two quantities of especial interest: — the magnetic moment of the atom and the effect of the interaction of the atomic forces which may be summarised in the term "molecular field" introduced by Weiss. The evaluation of the atomic magnetic moment leads directly to the conception of the magneton, the ultimate unit of magnetic moment, so largely developed by Weiss. In the light of the later ideas of the structure of the atom, the question must be raised as to the actual reality of the experimentally determined magneton of Weiss. Is it necessary or desirable to replace the latter
by the magneton derived from the quantum theory according to Bohr's conception of the constitution of the atom and which has a value almost exactly equal to five times that of the Weiss magneton and, in doing so, accept the conception of the "effective magneton number" introduced by Pauli 1) with such fruitful results in connection with the paramagnetism of gases? Any ultimate theory of the constitution of the atom must be capable of explaining the existence of the magnetic moment of paramagnetic atoms and of the apparent rationality in terms of the Weiss magneton and of predicting their actual values. A considerable step in the solution of the first of these problems has been achieved by Bohr 2) in his more recent conception of the atom. It will thus be seen from the few examples mentioned above that the further study of paramagnetism may provide the theoretical physicist with a powerful weapon for the attack on the problems of atomic structure.

The determination of the effect of the interaction of the atomic forces on the phenomenon of paramagnetism involves the consideration of several factors such as the structure of the atoms concerned, the their movements and hence the thermal properties of the substance and, in the case of crystalline materials, the arrangement of the atoms in the crystal. We have at present no precise knowledge of the nature of these interatomic forces. In introducing the conception of the molecular field into the theory of paramagnetism Weiss specially pointed out that this field need not necessarily be magnetic in nature and, indeed, the exceedingly great magnitudes demanded for it on the supposition that it is of magnetic character (of the order $10^7$ gauss) suggest that it is of some other nature. Weiss himself in a later paper brings forward evidence that the molecular field is not of magnetic origin and also that it cannot be of an electrostatic character. As regards the connection of the molecular field

2) Zeits. f. Physik. 9, 1 and 2, 1, 1922.
as expressed by the $\Delta$ in the Weiss law $\chi (T + \Delta) = C$ \(^1\) with the arrangement of the atoms in crystals, it will be shown in Part II that the evaluation of $\Delta$ may probably furnish valuable evidence as to the actual structure of a crystal.

In all the theories of paramagnetism yet put forward the magnetisation can be expressed as a function of the quantity $H/T$ (in which $H$ is the strength of the applied magnetic field and $T$ the absolute temperature). In the case of Langevin's theory which is founded on the classical electrodynamics, the magnetisation is directly proportional to this quantity, the susceptibility thus varying inversely as the absolute temperature as given by the experimentally discovered law of Curie $\chi T = \text{constant}$. Since the expression was obtained by assuming the law of the equipartition of energy as required by the classical theory and on the assumption of the perfect freedom of rotation of the elementary magnets \(^2\), it would not be expected that the theory would hold in the case of solids at the low temperatures at which we know that the equipartition law ceases to be valid. This is generally the case with the paramagnetic solids and all the later theories have endeavoured to obtain a better picture of the probable behaviour of these substances at low temperatures. The deviations from the Curie law cannot, however, be explained simply by allowing for the fact that the thermal energy of the substance is no longer directly proportional to the temperature at the low temperatures as was done in the early attempts of Oosterhuis \(^3\) and Keensom \(^4\) to explain the phenomena of paramagnetism at low temperatures. The curious fact remains however, that the susceptibility of

\(^1\) $\chi =$ susceptibility, $T =$ absolute temperature, $\Delta =$ constant of the molecular field, $C =$ Curie constant.

\(^2\) Ehrenfest has shown (Leiden Comm. Supp. 44b) that the Curie law can be derived without the assumption of the rotational freedom of the elementary magnets.

\(^3\) Leiden Comm. Supp. 31.

\(^4\) Leiden Comm. Supp. 32.
gadolinium sulphate was found to be inversely proportional to the absolute temperature down to the lowest temperature at which investigations were made (2° K. in a bath of liquid helium boiling under reduced pressure) although the thermal energy of the atoms is far from being proportional to the temperature at these extremely low temperatures. Similarly the susceptibility of ferric alum was found to follow the law of Curie down to the lowest temperature investigated (in this case about 14° K.). It would therefore appear that there is some mechanism acting in the case of these two substances by means of this strict inverse proportionality to the temperature is maintained in the regions in which we should expect a priori that the law would fail. It will be seen that the phenomena of paramagnetism raise many points of interest in the region of the temperature dependence of the thermal energies of substances.

All the above considerations apply equally well to the cases of solid substances whether in the form of crystals or in the amorphous state either naturally or artificially isotropic in the form of powders. The very great majority of investigations on paramagnetism in general have been carried out on substances in the form of powders in which it is the mean of the principal susceptibilities of the corresponding crystals that is measured. The investigation of the actual principal susceptibilities of crystals offers however a field of work from which results of considerable additional interest may be expected as bringing to light phenomena which are hidden in the mean values.

In the study of the phenomena of paramagnetism the domain of low temperatures immediately suggests itself as one in which investigation promises results of particular value. At these low temperatures obtainable with liquid hydrogen the magnitudes of the various phenomena are many times greater (15—20 times) than at ordinary temperatures, thus making it possible to investigate the phenomena with greater precision without however increasing to any great extent the difficulty of the measurements. Again the dis-
turbing action of traces of ferromagnetic impurities, the presence of which it is very difficult to avoid completely, becomes proportionally less as the temperature is lowered.

Again, since the magnetisation is a function $H/T$, we may regard this quantity as the "effective magnetic field". In investigating the connection between the susceptibility and the field strength (the susceptibilities of paramagnetic substances are in the great majority of circumstances independent of the field strength) we may reach higher values of the "effective field strength" either by increasing $H$ or by decreasing $T$. There is however a very definite limit beyond which the magnitude of $H$ cannot be increased by any means at present at our disposal, fields as great as 50,000 gauss being obtainable only with difficulty and by special means. Yet with the greatest value of $H$ obtainable in the laboratory the quantity $a = MH/RT$ ($M =$ magnetic moment per molecule, $R =$ gas constant per molecule) of Langevin's theory can, at atmospheric temperatures, be treated as a small quantity and saturation phenomena which are predicted by the theory as occurring for large values of $a$ cannot be observed. However by lowering the temperature the "effective field strength" may be increased considerably without correspondingly increasing the experimental difficulties. Thus an "effective field" of 30,000 gauss at atmospheric temperatures may without further demands on the magnet be increased to 450,000 gauss by lowering the temperature to about 20° K. (the boiling point of liquid hydrogen) and to 4,500,000 gauss by employing a bath of liquid helium with which a temperature of 2° K. can be obtained.

Further, since at the low temperatures the heat motions of the atoms are very much smaller than at atmospheric temperature, decreasing in reality much more rapidly than the temperature itself, the influence of the heat motions of the atoms on the phenomena of paramagnetism may be expected to have decreased correspondingly, thus resulting in a simplification of the conditions. The phenomena shown
by paramagnetic substances at low temperatures are thus of considerable interest in connection with the thermal energy of the atoms. In reality the phenomena occurring at these low temperatures appear to be more complicated than those obtaining at higher temperatures as will be shown later.

The present work is intended as a contribution to the study of the paramagnetism of solids at low temperatures in continuation of the previous studies in this domain already carried out at Leiden.

The work naturally falls into two parts, the first dealing with measurements of the susceptibilities of powdered substances and the second in which measurements on crystals are described. It was decided to investigate the following series of compounds in the powder form viz.: — the anhydrous and heptahydrated sulphates and the ammonium double sulphates of ferrous iron, nickel and cobalt. Of these compounds, data for the anhydrous and heptahydrated ferrous sulphates have already been published. They are considered here for the sake of completion in the series. The choice of the substances was regulated by the following considerations. The substances form three series of compounds of precisely similar constitution for the three strongly ferromagnetic elements which themselves form a special group in the periodic system. It would thus be expected that results of interest might be obtained in thus being able to determine the effect on the general behaviour of these paramagnetic substances as the paramagnetic atom is changed from iron through nickel to cobalt. Again the substances form three series of compounds of increasing "magnetic dilution" (the significance of the term will be explained in the next chapter). As a result of the previous work at Leiden it was considered that the deviation from the law of Curie, at least as concerns the relatively higher temperatures, was smaller the greater the "magnetic dilution" of the substance under

consideration. The above series of compounds was chosen with a view to further testing the idea.

In Part II will be found an account of the measurement of the principal susceptibilities of two crystals, cobalt ammonium sulphate and nickel sulphate heptahydrate, down to the lowest temperature obtainable with liquid hydrogen. These measurements constitute the first data available concerning the principal susceptibilities of crystals at these very low temperatures. The substances were chosen as representatives of different classes of crystals. Thus cobalt ammonium sulphate belongs to the monoclinic system and has a considerable "magnetic dilution". Nickel sulphate heptahydrate is a rhombic crystal; its "magnetic dilution" is rather less than that of cobalt ammonium sulphate. It was chosen also partly on account of the interesting deviations from the Weiss law $\chi (T + \Delta) = C$ which were shown by its mean susceptibility as determined from measurements on the powdered material.

I desire to mention that some of the work here described had been previously undertaken at Leiden by Dr. K. H of in 1915—'16 but owing to most of the notes of the observations having been lost during his return to Switzerland and to the fact that the measurements could not at that time be made over any very extensive range of temperature, the work was entirely repeated by me. Where the notes are still available, I have added the results obtained by Dr. H to those obtained later so that a comparison may be made between the two. The apparatus for the measurement of the crystals was made for Dr. H at Leiden and he carried out a series of measurements with it on various crystals but unfortunately none of the notes containing the final observations and results are now available. The work was therefore begun once more quite independently of the previous work. I wish however to make my acknowledgements for the considerable assistance which such of the notes as still remained afforded during the early stages of the work.
PART I.

MEASUREMENTS ON POWDERED MATERIALS.
CHAPTER I.

PREVIOUS WORK.

A short summary will be given here of the principal results of the measurements of the susceptibilities of paramagnetic substances at low temperatures dealing especially with the researches which have been carried out in the cryogenic laboratory at Leiden. 1)

From the theory of paramagnetism developed by Langevin 2) we should expect that paramagnetic substances would obey the law previously found experimentally by Curie 3) viz:— that the product of the susceptibility and the absolute temperature is constant or \( \chi T = C \); the introduction of the ideas of Weiss concerning the presence of a molecular field in solid substances would somewhat modify this result, leading instead to the law \( \chi (T + \Delta) = C \) in which \( \Delta \) is a constant characteristic of the substance. These two laws have been much used in guiding many investigators along the various lines possible in the study of paramagnetism and the results obtained here will be discussed in the light of them.

As a result of the investigations of Plessner 4), Koenigsberger 5), Meslin 6), Honda 7), Weiss and Foëx 8), Owen 9),

1) For a collected account of the magnetic researches carried out in the Leiden laboratory see Leiden Comm. Supp. No. 44.
2) Langevin, Ann. de chim. et de phys. 70, 1906.
3) Curie, Oeuvres, 276, 277.
8) Weiss and Foëx, Tables Int. des Const. et Données, No. 1911.
Honda and Soné 1), Ishiwara 2), Theodorides 3) and Foëx 4) among others, it has been shown that in general the Curie law does not hold for the case of solid substances but that the Weiss law is applicable over a range of some 100° below and 200° above 0° C. The graphs therefore of $\frac{1}{2}$ against $T$ consist of straight lines which do not in general pass through the zero. In some cases 3), 4) it was found that the graphs consisted of straight lines but that slope of the lines changed suddenly. These results have been interpreted by the Weiss school as being due to a sudden change in the number of magnetons present in the substance. The actual results obtained by the various investigators in so far as they concern the substances studied in the present work will be found in a later chapter together with the values obtained as a result of the present measurements.

The work of the above mentioned investigators has generally been carried out at temperatures higher than atmospheric and in no case have the temperatures been lower than those obtainable by the use of liquid air. It was therefore of the greatest interest to determine whether the phenomena shown by paramagnetic substances at the higher temperatures also occur at the lowest temperatures obtainable. With this end in view a number of solid substances in the form of powder and gaseous, liquid and solid oxygen have been measured in Leiden 5). As has been mentioned in the introduction it was found that two of the substances measured, gadolinium sulphate and ferric alum, followed the Curie law down to the lowest temperatures investigated. In no other case was it found that the Curie law was rigorously obeyed over any extended range of temperatures. As examples of substances showing the various types of deviation from the law

3) Theodorides, Thèse Zurich 1921, Journ. de Phys., 3, 1, 1922.
of Curie we may mention liquid oxygen, solid oxygen, anhydrous and hydrated manganese sulphates and anhydrous and hydrated ferrous sulphates.

The study of liquid oxygen, both when pure and when mixed with known amounts of liquid nitrogen, brought to light the fact that the results could be explained by the presence of a negative molecular field proportional to the concentration of the paramagnetic substance. Thus the observations could all be represented by the law \( \chi(T + \Delta) = C \) in which the constant \( \Delta \) has different values dependent on the concentration and hence on the mean distance apart of the paramagnetic molecules.

Similarly solid oxygen also followed the Weiss law but showed a very definite discontinuity in the value of the susceptibility at a temperature of about 32° K.

The cases of the anhydrous and hydrated manganese sulphates are instructive. The experiments showed that the hydrated salt, MnSO\(_4\).4H\(_2\)O, follows the Curie law very nearly down to about 65° K. but at lower temperatures deviates therefrom in such a manner that the susceptibility increases less rapidly with fall in temperature than is given by this law. On the other hand anhydrous manganese sulphate obeys the Weiss law with a \( \Delta \) equal to 24 down to nitrogen temperatures but at lower temperatures deviates from the law in the same manner as the hydrated salt but to a much greater degree. Thus the susceptibility becomes practically independent of the temperature for temperatures in the neighbourhood of 15° K.

In the case of hydrated ferrous sulphate, FeSO\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O, we have an example of a substance which follows the Weiss law with a small \( \Delta \) (≈1) down to the lowest temperature investigated (14° K.). Anhydrous ferrous sulphate on the other hand obeys the Weiss law with a \( \Delta \) equal to 31 down to nitrogen temperatures, while at lower temperatures a distinct maximum of susceptibility was found. At the time it could not be determined whether the change in susceptibility in this region was gradual or sudden since the region of
the exact maximum can only be reached with the aid of a hydrogen vapour cryostat, the use of which in the magnetic measurements presents great difficulties. In the light of the results announced in the present work it becomes probable that the change is a gradual one, perhaps as shown in the graph fig. 3 on page 32.

The work so far published from the Leiden laboratory would thus indicate the possibility of the existence of substances

(1) which obey the Curie law down to the lowest temperatures obtainable,
(2) which obey the Weiss law with $\Delta$ varying over a wide range,
(3) which show deviations from the Weiss law at lowest temperatures such that the susceptibility increases less rapidly with the fall in temperature than is given by this law 1),
(4) which show a maximum of susceptibility at low temperatures.

Another point which arises from these investigations is the dependence of $\Delta$ on the various factors which may conceivably influence it. $\Delta$ is, of course, independent of the temperature but, as the measurements showed is, at least in the case of liquid oxygen-nitrogen mixtures, very nearly proportional to the concentration of the paramagnetic substance present. Some such dependence was to be expected, since on Weiss's theory $\Delta$ expresses the influence of the molecular field on the behaviour of the paramagnetic substance and indeed on this theory $\Delta$ should be equal to $CN \rho^n$ 2) in which $n$ is probably equal to 1. In this connection it was assumed (and the measurements seemed to justify the assumption) that the liquid nitrogen (which is weakly diamagnetic) acts only as

---

1) The term cryomagnetic anomaly has been given to this phenomenon by Kamerlingh Onnes. See Rap. Conseil Solvay held at Brussels Apr. 1921 and Leiden Comm. Supp. 44.
2) $C =$ Curie constant, $N =$ coefficient of molecular field, $\rho =$ density.
a diluent and exerts no direct action on the behaviour of liquid oxygen.

This idea was extended to the case of solid substances by Kamerlingh Onnes and Oosterhuis 1) who suggested a connection between the $\Delta$ and the distance apart of the paramagnetic atoms in substance. If thus we can consider that in a substance, such as MnSO$_4$.4H$_2$O for example, the part of the molecule other than the paramagnetic atom Mn acts only as a diluent and is without direct influence on the paramagnetic part of the molecule (the contribution of the anion and the water of crystallisation to the actually measured susceptibility can be allowed for and is, of course, not meant here). Thus the larger the rest of the molecule compared with the paramagnetic part, the greater the average distance apart of the paramagnetic atoms will be. We may thus introduce the conception of the "magnetic dilution" of a substance, a substance being the more "magnetically dilute" the larger the "inert" portion of the molecule. This conception holds of course only for those substances in which the molecule contains a weakly diamagnetic part such as water of crystallisation or the anion SO$_4$. Cl etc. and not for such substances as oxides. It was suggested that the greater the "magnetic dilution" of a substance the smaller $\Delta$ will be. This was supported by examining the cases of anhydrous and hydrated manganese sulphate, in which $\Delta = 24$ and 0 respectively and anhydrous and hydrated ferrous sulphate, in which $\Delta = 31$ and 1 respectively. In each case the substances which have the smaller $\Delta$ are those in which the "magnetic dilution" is the greater, the hydrated compounds being considered more dilute than the corresponding anhydrous substances on account of the presence of the water of crystallisation. Again ferric alum Fe$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$. (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$. 24H$_2$O, which may be considered as very dilute magnetically, has a value of $\Delta$ too small for measurement.

1) Leiden Comm. No. 139.
It will be seen from the present work that whilst this relation holds in the case of the cobalt sulphates, it requires some modification in the cases of the nickel sulphates and the double sulphates.

All the substances for which investigations have yet been published for very low temperatures have been found to possess a positive \( A \) if they obey the Weiss law. The work of other investigators at higher temperatures has brought to light the existence of substances for which the \( A \)'s have negative values. Should these substances continue to follow the Weiss law down to the lowest temperatures, then we should expect that the substances would become ferromagnetic below the temperature corresponding to the value of \( A \). In the present work an example of a substance with a negative value of \( A \) is to be found in nickel sulphate heptahydrate. This substance does not however become ferromagnetic below temperatures below that corresponding to \( A = -59 \) since it showed very considerable deviations from the Weiss law at temperatures below about 160° K., the susceptibility remaining below the value given by the above law.

1) An investigation of the anhydrous chlorides of nickel and cobalt by Kamerlingh Onnes and Woltjer (and yet unpublished) has shown that the \( A \)'s for these substances are negative but that the substances show the usual cryomagnetic anomaly and hence do not definitely become ferromagnetic at the lowest temperatures. See Leiden Comm. Supp. 44, footnote 3, p. 25.

CHAPTER II.

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT AND MATERIALS.

§ 1. Measurement of Susceptibility.

The method adopted for the measurements of the susceptibilities of the powdered substances was that which may be termed the rod method. In this method a rod of the substance to be investigated is placed in the field of an electromagnet in such a manner that one end of the rod is situated in a strong magnetic field (that on the axis of the interferrum of the magnet) while the other end is outside the field or in only a very weak field. A force then acts on the rod given by the expression

\[ F = \frac{1}{2} \gamma \frac{m}{l} (H_1^2 - H_2^2), \]

in which
\[ F = \text{Force on rod}, \]
\[ \gamma = \text{Susceptibility per unit mass}, \]
\[ m = \text{Mass of substance}, \]
\[ l = \text{Length of rod}, \]
\[ H_1, H_2 = \text{Fields at ends of rod}. \]

The actual apparatus used in the measurements has been described in detail in Leiden Comm. Nos. 139a and 139b, the former giving the description of the apparatus and method of working and the latter the modification of the apparatus introduced by Oosterhuis. A very brief description will therefore suffice here. The powdered material is contained in a
cylindrical glass tube of uniform cross section, the lower half of which is filled with the substance, while the upper half is evacuated or contains helium at a low pressure, the latter being introduced to assist in the unification of the temperature over the specimen. To the upper end of the tube is attached a glass rod, this in turn being fastened to a system floating in mercury in such a way that the upper end of the cylinder of powder is situated on the axis of the poles of the magnet. The floating system carries a scale-pan on which weights can be put. The values of $F$, $H_1$ and $H_2$ in the expression given above are then determined as follows. A known weight is placed on the scale-pan and the current through the magnet coils is then switched on and increased until the floating system, owing to the upward force acting on it due to the magnetic field, is brought back into the position it occupied in the absence of the field before the weight had been placed on the scale-pan. The values of $F$ and $H_1$ (and $H_2$) are then given immediately by the weight added and the current through the magnet coils respectively. When making the measurements at low temperatures the tube containing the powder is of course surrounded by a Dewar vessel containing a suitable liquified gas.


The electromagnet used in the present work was a small size Weiss magnet. It was mounted on a turn-table so that it could be turned into any position about a vertical axis as was required in making the measurements on the crystals described later. The positions of the magnet could be read on a circular scale divided into degrees and attached to the magnet proper. The field strengths on the axis of the pole pieces, i.e. the $H_1$ of the above formula, had previously been determined with great care for a large number of current strengths by both the ballistic method and that of the magnetic balance of Cotton. A table had then been prepared from the observations giving the field strength on the axis for the parti-
cular pole distance used (16.8 mms.) as a function of the current in the magnet coils. Further the ratio of the strength of the field at any point in an equatorial plane to that on the axis of the magnet had also been determined thus giving the value of \( H_2 \). In general, with the lengths of tube used in the present work the value of \( H_2^2 \) was small compared with \( H_1^2 \) and could be neglected or introduced as a small correction. In the present work the values of \( H_1 \) were determined by reading the value of the current in the magnet coils and referring to the table mentioned above.

§ 3. Measurement and Control of the Temperature.

The measurements of the atmospheric temperatures were made with a mercury thermometer which had been compared with a standard mercury thermometer calibrated at the Physikalisches Technische Reichsanstalt. The lower temperatures were controlled and measured as follows. The temperatures were obtained by means of baths of the various liquified gases boiling under constant known pressures. For temperatures lower than the boiling point of any of the liquified gases, the pressure under which the gas was boiling was reduced by means of a pump and could be kept constant by means of a valve. The pressure was determined by the aid of an open mercury manometer, an auxiliary oil manometer being employed to show any small changes in the pressures. This oil manometer could be maintained at a definite pressure to within a few millimeters, thus ensuring that the liquified gas, which was always kept well stirred by moving the floating system up and down, was boiling at a temperature which did not vary by so much as one tenth of a degree absolute. The temperatures were obtained by measuring the pressure under which the liquified gases were boiling and then referring to the vapour pressure curves previously obtained by measurements in the laboratory. The temperatures were thus known to within one tenth of a degree, which was sufficiently accurate for the present purpose.

The substances used were, with the exception of cobalt ammonium sulphate 1), Merck's guaranteed pure reagents. The heptahydrated sulphates and the double sulphates were reerystallised before use, dried on filter paper and powdered. The anhydrous sulphates were prepared from Merck's pure samples of the corresponding heptahydrated sulphates by careful heating until all the water of crystallisation had been driven out as shown by the constancy of the weight of the material and the agreement of the loss in weight with that corresponding to the loss of seven molecules of water.

1) Supplied by H. Trommsdorff, Chemische Fabrik, Erfurt.
CHAPTER III.

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS.

§ 1. Specimen Observations.

The following set of observations may serve to show the nature of the results obtained in the present investigation.

Cobalt Sulphate Heptahydrate.
Mass of substance used = 5.565 grms.
Length of column of substance = 8.85 cms.
Observations with bath of liquid nitrogen at 764.5 mms. pressure.

Hence temperature = 77.3 K.

I. Mass in Scale-Pan = 3.1624 grms.
Current in magnet coils to balance above mass = 9.61 amps.
Field Strength = 8846 gauss.
Susceptibility = $126.1 \times 10^{-6}$.

II. Mass in Scale-Pan = 4.4877 grms.
Current in magnet coils to balance above mass = 11.41 amps.
Field Strength = 10490 gauss.
Susceptibility = $127.2 \times 10^{-6}$.

III. Mass in Scale-Pan = 4.4460 grms.
Current in magnet coils to balance above mass = 11.38 amps.
Field Strength = 10463 gauss.
Susceptibility = $126.7 \times 10^{-6}$.
IV. Mass in Scale-Pan = 6.5762 grms.
Current in magnet coils to balance above mass = 14.16 amps.
Field Strength = 12700 gauss.
Susceptibility = $127.2 \times 10^{-6}$.

V. Mass in Scale-Pan = 7.6501 grms.
Current in magnet coils to balance above mass = 15.81 amps.
Field Strength = 13701 gauss.
Susceptibility = $127.1 \times 10^{-6}$.

Mean Susceptibility = $126.9 \times 10^{-6}$.
Range of Field Strengths = 8846 — 13701 gauss.

§ 2. Final Results.

I. Anhydrous Cobalt Sulphate.

The results of the measurements on anhydrous cobalt sulphate are given in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$\chi \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$\chi_m$</th>
<th>$1/\chi_m$</th>
<th>$\chi_m(T + 44.9)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>289.5</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>0.00964</td>
<td>103.7</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286.5</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>0.00973</td>
<td>102.8</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169.65</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>0.0150</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.27</td>
<td>169.7</td>
<td>0.0268</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.95</td>
<td>194.1</td>
<td>0.0300</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.45</td>
<td>378.8</td>
<td>0.0587</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>420.7</td>
<td>0.0652</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>461.8</td>
<td>0.0716</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above table $\chi$ denotes the specific susceptibility, $\chi_m$ the molecular susceptibility, $T$ the absolute temperature. The values of the inverses of the molecular susceptibilities
given in column 4 are plotted against the absolute temperature in graph 1 fig. 1. The numbers in column 5 are intended as a test for the Weiss law \( \chi_m(T + \Delta) = C \) and will be discussed in the next section.

The values of \( 1/\chi_m \) are plotted against \( T \) in graph 1, fig. 1. From the above data we may calculate the number of magnetons present in the substance from the expressions given by Weiss viz:

\[
n = \frac{\sigma_m}{123.5} \quad \sigma_m^2 = 3\chi_m(T + \Delta), \quad R = 3CR
\]

in which \( n \) = magneton number, \( \sigma_m \) = magnetic moment per grm. mol., \( R \) = gas constant per grm. mol. We then find for \( n \) the value 25.2.

We may now compare the above given values with the data already to be found in the literature. This substance has been measured by Ishiwara (loc. cit.) from atmospheric temperatures down to about 95° K. and by Theodorides (loc. cit.) from atmospheric temperatures up to about 500° C. The value for the susceptibility at 25° C. found by Theodorides is \( 62.25 \times 10^{-6} \) while the data given by Ishiwara are to be found below.

Before comparing the experimental results with any theory of paramagnetism, a correction must be applied to them on account of the diamagnetic properties of the anion, the water of crystallisation etc. The correction is however negligibly small in the present case and has been left out of account. It is applied to all the results given later for the cases in which it has an appreciable value. The data previously published from Leiden have not been so corrected when calculating \( \chi_m(T + \Delta) \) and Cabrera (Ann. Fis. Quim. 13, 256, 1915) has drawn attention to this fact in the passage, "En éstos, como en todos los demás cuerpos estudiados por los físicos holandeses, la constante de Curie se ha calculado para la molécula completa; proceder que no está de acuerdo con la teoría, principalmente en las sales hidratadas, para las cuales la corrección por diamagnetismo del anión y el agua llegan a exceder del 1%". This is quite true but it may be pointed out that in calculating the magneton numbers from the Leiden observations, the correction for the diamagnetism of the anion etc. has been applied.
The value given by Theodorides for atmospheric temperatures is somewhat higher than that found in the present work but the present values are in good agreement with the data of Ishiwara except for his lowest temperatures.

II. Cobalt Sulphate Heptahydrate.

The values of the susceptibility of this substance found in the present work are given in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\chi \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$\chi_m$</th>
<th>$1/\chi_m$</th>
<th>$\chi_m (T + 13.7)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>0.0104</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>95.2, 310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>95.4, 3196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>95.1, 3172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-45.4</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
<td>95.0, 3193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169.5</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>0.0178</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td>57.8, 3193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.48</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>0.0355</td>
<td>0.0356</td>
<td>28.9, 3252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>126.9</td>
<td>0.0357</td>
<td>0.0358</td>
<td>27.9, 3256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.87</td>
<td>146.2</td>
<td>0.0411</td>
<td>0.0412</td>
<td>24.2, 3195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td>0.0407</td>
<td>0.0408</td>
<td>24.5, 3160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>403.0</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>8.81, 3779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.32</td>
<td>404.4</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>8.78, 3783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.47</td>
<td>464.4</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>7.65, 4084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>585.9</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>6.63, 4292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above table $\chi_m$ denotes the molecular susceptibility corrected for the diamagnetism of the anion and the water of crystallisation. The values of the corrections used were those derived principally from the work of Pascal. The values required for the present case will be found collected...
together in a paper by Weiss and Bruins (Proc. Acad. Amst., 18, 246, 1915). It must be noted however that the values of the corrections mentioned above were determined at atmospheric temperatures but that they are here applied to all the readings at whatever temperature. This is probably quite justifiable as the susceptibilities of diamagnetic substances are in general independent of the temperature although exceptions to this rule are known. It cannot thus be stated with certainty that the values of the corrections determined at atmospheric temperatures will be valid at the lower temperatures but, in the present state of our knowledge, the best thing we can do is to assume that they are valid.

The values of \( \frac{1}{\chi_m} \) are plotted against \( T \) in graph 2, fig. 1.
The magneton number calculated from the above data is found to be 25.04.

In the following table are given the observations made on cobalt sulphate heptahydrate by Dr. Hof.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$\chi \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$\chi_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>290°.0</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>0.0104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°.83</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15°.5</td>
<td>478.9</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14°.8</td>
<td>540.8</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above data may be compared with the results found by previous investigators.

Méslin (1906) = $34.5 \times 10^{-6}$ (atmospheric temperature — value not stated).

Weiss and Foëx (1911) = $31.9 \times 10^{-6}$ (20° C.).

III. Cobalt Ammonium Sulphate.

The observations on cobalt ammonium sulphate are given in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$\chi \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$\chi_m$</th>
<th>$\chi_m'$</th>
<th>$1/\chi_m'$</th>
<th>$\chi_m'(T + 22)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>290.8</td>
<td>24.61</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>3.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.28</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>0.0909</td>
<td>0.0909</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>3.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>0.0955</td>
<td>0.0955</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>4.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.71</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>4.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>4.682</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of $1/\chi_m'$ are plotted against $T$ in graph 3, fig. 1.

The value of the magneton number calculated from the above data is 24.75.
IV. Anhydrous Nickel Sulphate.

The measurements on anhydrous nickel sulphate gave the results to be found in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$% \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$\chi_m$</th>
<th>$1/\chi_m$</th>
<th>$\chi_m(T + 79.4)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>289.0</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>242.0</td>
<td>1.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169.2</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>161.9</td>
<td>1.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>102.9</td>
<td>1.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>1.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>1.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.77</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>1.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.63</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>1.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>1.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>1.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of $1/\chi_m$ are plotted against $T$ in graph 1, fig. 2.

The value of the magneton number calculated from the above data is 16.9. To the above results may be added those obtained by Dr. Hof as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$%$</th>
<th>$\chi_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>289.0</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anhydrous nickel sulphate has been measured by Ishiwara with the following results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$% \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$% \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$% \times 10^6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24.3 C</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>-52.5</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>-122.6</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>-72.7</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>-144.1</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-11.7</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>-86.7</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>-162.7</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-27.5</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>-105.8</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>-182.8</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The values of Ishiwara are throughout somewhat higher than the present observations but the dependence on temperature is exactly the same in the two cases in the range of temperature common to both.

It may also be mentioned that the following values have been found by various investigators as a result of measurements on solutions of nickel sulphate.

Quincke 1) $29.9 \times 10^{-6}$ (19° C.).
Jaeger and Meyer 2) $27.9 \times 10^{-6}$ (17°.5 C.).
Koenigsberger 3) $30.5 \times 10^{-6}$ (22° C.).
Liebknecht and Wills 4) $27.0 \times 10^{-6}$ (18° C.).

V. Nickel Sulphate Heptahydrate.

The results of the measurements on nickel sulphate heptahydrate are given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$\chi \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$\chi_m$</th>
<th>$\chi'_m$</th>
<th>$1/\chi'_m$</th>
<th>$\chi_m(T - 59)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>292.2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169.6</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>102.3</td>
<td>1.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.29</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
<td>0.0218</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>0.896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.65</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>0.0257</td>
<td>0.0258</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>0.0624</td>
<td>0.0626</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>$-2.417$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.65</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.0757</td>
<td>0.0758</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>$-3.212$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>0.0826</td>
<td>0.0827</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>$-3.672$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of $1/\chi'_m$ are plotted against $T$ in graph 2, fig. 2. The value of the magneton number calculated from the data is 14.6.

The following table gives the values found by Dr. Hor.

Nickel sulphate heptahydrate has been measured by Meslin (loc. cit.) who found the following value: \(-17.0 \times 10^{-6}\) at atmospheric temperature (precise value of temperature not stated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(T)</th>
<th>(\chi \times 10^6)</th>
<th>(\chi_m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>290° 0</td>
<td>15.8(_6)</td>
<td>0.0044(_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°.33</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>0.0612(_9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15°.17</td>
<td>286(_4)</td>
<td>0.0890(_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14°.8</td>
<td>294(_1)</td>
<td>0.0825(_7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. Nickel Ammonium Sulphate.

The results of the measurements on nickel ammonium sulphate are given the following table.
The value of the magneton number calculated from the above data is 15.9.

VII. Anhydrous Ferrous Sulphate.

The results of the measurements on this substance have been already published (see Leiden Comm. No. 1296) but are given for the sake of completion of the series.

The values of \(1/\chi_m\) are plotted against \(T\) in graph 3, fig. 2. The value of the magneton number calculated from the above data is 15.9.

The results given above may again be compared with the values found by other investigators. Thus we find the following values given for the susceptibility at atmospheric temperatures as derived from measurements on solutions of ferrous sulphate.
QUINCKE (loc. cit.) $75.8 \times 10^{-6}$ (19° C.).
JAEBER and MEYER (loc. cit.) $91.0 \times 10^{-6}$ (17°. 5 C.).
KOENIGSBERGER (loc. cit.) $69.9 \times 10^{-6}$ (22° C.).
LIEBNECHT and WILLS (loc. cit.) $80.4 \times 10^{-6}$ (18°. C.).
TOWNSEND $1)$ $70.1 \times 10^{-6}$ (10° C.).
CABRERA, MOLES and MARQUINA $2)$ $78.2 \times 10^{-6}$ (22°.4 C.).

ISHIWARA has measured anhydrous ferrous sulphate in the powder form with the following results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$% \times 10^{6}$</th>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$% \times 10^{6}$</th>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$% \times 10^{6}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>-17.0</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>-56.3</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>153.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-41.0</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>-102.2</td>
<td>120.1</td>
<td>162.8</td>
<td>177.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. Ferrous Sulphate Heptahydrate.

Data for this substance have also been published from Leiden (see Leiden Comm. No. 122a). They are to be found in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$% \times 10^{6}$</th>
<th>$%_m$</th>
<th>$%'_m$</th>
<th>$1/%'_m$</th>
<th>$%'_m (T + 1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>289.6</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>0.0115</td>
<td>0.0116</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>3.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.45</td>
<td>154.5</td>
<td>0.0429</td>
<td>0.0430</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>3.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.24</td>
<td>186.1</td>
<td>0.0517</td>
<td>0.0518</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>3.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>555.4</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>3.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.73</td>
<td>589.7</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>3.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.01</td>
<td>641.6</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>3.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>695.3</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>3.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>757.2</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>3.144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of $1/\%'_m$ are plotted against $T$ in graph 2, fig. 3.

The value of the magneton number calculated from the above data is 25.84.

Ferrous sulphate heptahydrate has also been investigated by Koenigsberger, Meslin and Ishiwara. The values found are given below.

Koenigsberger \(34.5 \times 10^{-6}\) (22° C.).

Meslin \(46.2 \times 10^{-6}\) (temperature not stated).

The data given by Ishiwara are to be found in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(T)</th>
<th>(% \times 10^6)</th>
<th>(% \times 10^6)</th>
<th>(% \times 10^6)</th>
<th>(% \times 10^6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25.0° C.</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-31.7</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>-109.7</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nr.Fe\(_2\)\((\text{NH}_4\)\(_2\)\)\text{SO}_4 \(\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}\).

\(\text{III. FeSO}_4 \cdot [\text{NH}_4]_2 \text{SO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}\).

\(\text{II. FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}\).

\(\text{I. FeSO}_4\).

Fig. 3.
IX. Ferrous Ammonium Sulphate.

The results of the measurements on ferrous ammonium sulphate are given in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$% \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$\chi_m$</th>
<th>$\chi_m'$</th>
<th>$1/\chi_m'$</th>
<th>$\chi_m'(T + 3)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>290.9</td>
<td>0.0125, 0.0127</td>
<td>0.0129,</td>
<td>77.2, 3.798</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>0.0476, 0.0478</td>
<td>0.0479,</td>
<td>20.8, 3.833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>0.162, 0.162</td>
<td>0.162,</td>
<td>6.1, 3.779</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.74</td>
<td>0.191, 0.191</td>
<td>0.191,</td>
<td>5.22, 3.782</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.214, 0.214</td>
<td>0.214,</td>
<td>4.65, 3.757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of the magneton number calculated from the above data is 27.5.

To the above measurements may be added unpublished observations on ferrous ammonium sulphate made by Dr. Oosterhuis at Leiden. They are given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$% \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$\chi_m$</th>
<th>$\chi_m'$</th>
<th>$1/\chi_m'$</th>
<th>$\chi_m'(T + 3)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>290.0</td>
<td>0.0126, 0.0126</td>
<td>0.0127,</td>
<td>77.8, 3.718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169.0</td>
<td>0.0217, 0.0218</td>
<td>0.0219,</td>
<td>45.5, 3.774</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>0.0476, 0.0478</td>
<td>0.0479,</td>
<td>20.9, 3.863</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>0.0564, 0.0565</td>
<td>0.0566,</td>
<td>17.6, 3.829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>0.164, 0.164</td>
<td>0.164,</td>
<td>6.08, 3.816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.215, 0.215</td>
<td>0.215,</td>
<td>4.64, 3.814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both the above sets of observations are plotted in graph 3, fig. 3.

It will be seen that the present values (circles) and those of Oosterhuis (triangles) are in very good agreement with the exception of the observations at atmospheric temperature, for which, as seen from the graph, the value in the first table would seem to be the more nearly correct.

Ferrous ammonium sulphate has also been investigated by Fiske1), who determined the principal susceptibilities of a

crystal of the substance and by Foëx \(^1\), who carried out measurements on the powdered substance.

For the principal susceptibilities (per unit volume) at atmospheric temperature, Fiske gives the following values:

\[ x_1 = 84.32 \times 10^{-6}, \quad x_2 = 78.74 \times 10^{-6}, \quad x_3 = 76.20 \times 10^{-6}. \]

Calculating the specific susceptibilities (density = 1.899) and taking the mean we find \( \gamma = 42.0 \times 10^{-6} \).

Foëx gives the following two series of observations of the molecular susceptibility corrected for the diamagnetic properties of the molecule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T )</th>
<th>( \gamma_m )</th>
<th>( \gamma_m (T - 22) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>288.9</td>
<td>0.01278</td>
<td>3.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275.9</td>
<td>0.01346</td>
<td>3.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223.4</td>
<td>0.01695</td>
<td>3.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.5</td>
<td>0.01903</td>
<td>3.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>0.0529</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.0693</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283.1</td>
<td>0.01283</td>
<td>3.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290.7</td>
<td>0.01271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.0404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.0420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.0432</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>&gt; 0.0430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although the values for atmospheric temperature are in good agreement with the present observations, the values at lower temperatures are very different in the first series. Again, in the present measurements no trace was found of great deviation from the Weiss law at the lower temperatures as found by Foëx, neither was the \( \Delta \) negative. It may be observed that of the two series of measurements by Foëx, which differ from each other by a very considerable amount, the present observations agree better with the second than with the first.

\(^1\) Thèse, Strasbourg 1921, Ann. de Phys., (9), 16, 174, 1921.
CHAPTER IV.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS.

§ 1. Examination for the law \( \chi_m (T + \Delta) = C \).

In the tables of the results given in the previous chapter will be found columns giving the values of \( \chi_m (T + \Delta) \), in which \( \Delta \) is a constant characteristic of the substance. It will be seen that in all cases but that of nickel sulphate heptahydrate the values in these columns are constant to within the experimental error down to the temperatures obtainable with liquid nitrogen (i.e. about 70° K.). Hence it may be stated that these substances follow the Weiss law \( \chi_m (T + \Delta) = C \) over this range of temperatures. In the case of ferrous ammonium sulphate it will be seen that this law holds with the same accuracy down to still lower temperatures and indeed to the lowest temperature investigated. This is brought out by an examination of the graph given in fig. 3. This graph shows that the observations for this substance fall on a straight line when \( 1/\chi_m \) is plotted against \( T \).

It may also be noted that with the exception of nickel sulphate heptahydrate all the substances, for which \( \Delta \) is not zero, have a positive value of \( \Delta \). The value of \( \Delta \) for nickel sulphate heptahydrate is however negative (-59). This substance is therefore of considerable interest since according to Weiss's theory it should become ferromagnetic at temperatures below that corresponding to \( \Delta \) (Curie point) provided that the Weiss law is obeyed down to this temperature. It was, however, found that this substance did not become ferromagnetic at the lowest temperatures since it ceased to obey the Weiss law, showing very large and interesting deviations therefrom.
On examining the present data in the light of the suggestion of Kamerlingh Onnes and Oosterhuis as to the possible connection between ‘magnetic dilution’ and the value of the Δ in the Weiss law, we find that they are not altogether in agreement with this idea. Taking first the case of the cobalt compounds, we see that the Δ’s for CoSO₄, CoSO₄·7H₂O and CoSO₄·(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O are 44.9, 13.7 and 22 respectively. Thus while it is true that for CoSO₄ and CoSO₄·7H₂O the Δ is smaller in the substance with the greater magnetic dilution, the value of Δ for CoSO₄·(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O is greater than that for CoSO₄·7H₂O although one would expect that the former substance could be considered as the more dilute magnetically since it is derived from the latter by the substitution of one molecule of ammonium sulphate for one molecule of water of crystallisation.

In the case of the nickel compounds we find that the Δ’s have the following values:— NiSO₄, 79.4; NiSO₄·7H₂O, — 59; NiSO₄·(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O.4. The heptahydrated sulphate here appears to be exceptional.

For the ferrous compounds we find the values FeSO₄, 31; FeSO₄·7H₂O,1; FeSO₄·(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O,3. In the case of the two sulphates the Δ is, of course, the smaller for the substance of the greater magnetic dilution since this was one of the cases considered by Kamerlingh Onnes and Oosterhuis in making the suggestion referred to above but here again the double sulphate has a larger Δ than the heptahydrated sulphate. The values of Δ are, however, very small and hence they are not determinable with any great accuracy from the observations.

It would thus seem that while the values of Δ are frequently greater the smaller the magnetic dilution of the substance, more especially in the case of the hydrated and the corresponding anhydrous compounds, this rule does not always hold.

§ 2. Deviations from the Weiss law.

An examination of the tables and the graphs will make it immediately obvious that, with the exception of ferrous
ammonium sulphate, all the substances show deviations from the Weiss law at low temperatures and that these deviations are of various types.

We will now examine in detail the deviations from the law $\chi_m (T + \Delta) = C$ which occur at low temperatures, considering first the double sulphates, then the heptahydrated sulphates and finally the anhydrous sulphates, this order being chosen here as then the type of deviation becomes progressively more complex.

Considering first the double sulphates and referring to the tables and the graphs, we see that the deviations from the Weiss law are such that the susceptibility increases more rapidly with fall in temperature than is given by this law. The deviation is considerable in the case of cobalt ammonium sulphate, less with nickel ammonium sulphate and too small for measurement with ferrous ammonium sulphate. It will be seen that at about 14° K. the susceptibility of cobalt ammonium sulphate is 1.4 times greater than the value calculated for this temperature from the law $\chi_m (T + 22) = C$. This type of deviation from the Weiss law is thus the exact counterpart of the type previously found, for example, with anhydrous manganese sulphate, and now known as the cryomagnetic anomaly.

Turning now to the heptahydrated sulphates and taking first the case of the nickel compound, we find here a more complicated type of deviation. Thus, as the temperature falls the susceptibility first increases less rapidly with fall in temperature than is given by the Weiss law, later the susceptibility begins to increase more rapidly. Hence the curve of $1/\chi_m$ against $T$ shows an inflection, the curvature changing sign at about 120° K. The susceptibility remains throughout, however, less than the value for any particular temperature below about 160° K. as calculated from the Weiss law. Cobalt sulphate heptahydrate shows somewhat similar phenomena but on a much smaller scale; also the susceptibility at the lowest temperatures is greater than the value given by the law $\chi_m (T + 13.7) = C$ which is obeyed at relatively high
temperatures. On the other hand, ferrous sulphate heptahydrate shows a small deviation from the Weiss law of the older type i.e. the "cryomagnetic anomaly".

Considering now the anhydrous sulphates and taking first the case of the nickel compound, we see that (1) the susceptibility for temperatures below about 75° K. has a value throughout which is less than that given by the Weiss law and (2) there are a distinct maximum and minimum of susceptibility. The minimum, which is very sharp, occurs in the region of temperature obtainable with liquid hydrogen. In the case of anhydrous ferrous sulphate the points actually measured are shown on the full curve. It is immediately obvious that a maximum value of the susceptibility occurs between hydrogen and nitrogen temperatures. Nothing can be said with certainty of the shape of the curve in the region between the lowest nitrogen temperature (about 64° K.) and the highest hydrogen temperature (about 20°.3 K.) as it is almost impossible to make accurate magnetic measurements in this region on account of the cryogenic difficulties but it is probable in the light of the phenomena shown by anhydrous nickel sulphate that the curve runs something as shown in the dotted portion. It will be observed that in this case no minimum of susceptibility has been found. It would be of the greatest interest to continue the measurements down to helium temperatures so as to determine whether a minimum occurs or whether the susceptibility continues to decrease steadily.

Anhydrous cobalt sulphate also shows some indications of a maximum and a minimum value of the susceptibility at the lowest temperatures but the phenomena are on a much smaller scale than in the case of the corresponding nickel and iron compounds.

§ 3. Comparison of Results with Existing Theories.

The theories of paramagnetism can be divided into two classes, those based on the classical electrodynamics and those based on the quantum theory. A short account will be given
here of these theories in so far as they concern the phenomena shown by paramagnetic substances at low temperatures.

The theories of paramagnetism based on the classical electrodynamics are all more or less intimately connected with the theory of Langevin. According to this theory the susceptibility should be independent of the field strength except for very great fields and should be inversely proportional to the absolute temperature, that is it should follows Curie's law. It is now known that in general this is not the case with solid substances. Weiss later showed that the introduction of a molecular field into the theory of paramagnetism leads to the law $\Delta (T + \% C$ in which the symbols have their usual signification. It has been found that this law is almost invariably obeyed at relatively high temperatures but in general it does not hold at low temperatures.

Other writers have proposed various modifications of the theory, in particular Gans 1) allowed for the effect of the mutual interactions of the molecules. He found that if the molecule possesses a figure axis the substance will be paramagnetic but the dependence on temperature of the susceptibility at low temperatures given by theory is not in good agreement with the experimental facts.

Foëx 2) has extended the theory of Weiss by considering the effect of the introduction of the following ideas (1) a molecular field equal to $n \sigma$ ($\sigma =$ magnetisation) in which $n$ has in general different values along the principal axes of the crystal and (2) there exists a potential energy which is a function of the direction in the crystal. An account of this theory as far as it concerns the principal susceptibilities of crystals will be found in Part. II. Foëx also considers in considerable detail the possible phenomena shown by the mean of the principal susceptibilities, i.e. the quantity determined by measurements on powdered materials. He shows that the theory predicts the existence of the following phenomena (1)

2) Thèse Strasbourg, 1921, Ann. de physique (9), 16, 174, 1921.
At high temperatures the Weiss law holds, (2) at the lower temperatures the susceptibility may increase either more rapidly or less rapidly with fall in temperature than is given by the Weiss law, (3) the curve of the inverse of the susceptibility against the absolute temperature may possess a point of inflection so that the curve changes from concave towards the temperature axis to convex and vice versa, (4) a maximum and a minimum of susceptibility may occur at the lowest temperatures. It will thus be seen that the theory covers all the phenomena found as yet, including those announced in the previous pages. Foëx's theory is, however, purely qualitative, so that it cannot be asserted with any certainty that the theory is really a true representation of the facts.

The earliest of the theories of paramagnetism based on the quantum theory is that of Oosterhuis 1). The susceptibility according to Langevin's is inversely proportional to the kinetic energy of rotation of the molecules. Oosterhuis replaces the classical expression for this energy by the quantum expression given by Einstein and Stern 2), viz:

\[ U = \frac{hv}{e^{\frac{hv}{kT}} - 1} + \frac{1}{2} hv \]

and obtains finally a formula which degenerates into the Weiss law at relatively high temperatures and which is capable of reproducing the experimental results for the anhydrous and hydrated manganese sulphates with a good accuracy over the whole temperature range investigated. The theory was later extended by Keesom 3).

Theories which are more in accordance with the later developments of the quantum theory have been given by Reiche 4) and Smekal 5) as extensions to two degrees of

3) Leiden Comm. Suppl. No. 32.
5) Ibid, 57, 376, 1918.
freedom of an earlier work by Weyssenhoff 1) which dealt only with one degree of freedom. In these theories the Hamilton-Jacobian differential equation of motion is developed for the rotations of a molecule with two degrees of freedom, a permanent magnetic moment $m$ and a moment of inertia $J$ in a magnetic field $H$. The rotations are then quantised according to the conditions laid down by Sommerfeld and Wilson. The distribution of the molecules in the "phase space" is then considered by Reiche from the point of view of the so-called Planck's second quantum theory and by Smekal from that of the first quantum theory. Reiche thus arrives at the following formula for the susceptibility

$$\chi = \frac{5\pi^2 m^2 J \cdot N}{4\hbar^2} \cdot \frac{e^{-\sigma}}{S} + \frac{4\pi S_0}{S},$$

in which $S_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\sigma n^2}}{n(n^2-1)}$; $S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot e^{-\sigma n^2}$,

$N =$ Avogadro number,

$\hbar =$ Planck's constant,

$k =$ Gas constant,

$\sigma = \frac{\hbar^2}{8\pi^2 J k T}$.

The values calculated from this formula are in good agreement with the experimental data for the anhydrous and hydrated manganese sulphates, anhydrous ferric sulphate and hydrated ferrous sulphate. The formula derived by Smekal does not, however, reproduce the observed results with the same accuracy.

Reiche's theory can thus give a quantitative explanation of the phenomena shown by several substances but is open to several serious objections. Firstly the value for the magnetic moment required to secure the agreement of the calculated and the observed values is altogether different from that

found from measurements on solutions by applying the Curie formula although this formula is the limiting expression for $T = \infty$ according to Reiche's theory. Secondly the theory explains only the type of deviation from the Weiss law in which the "cryomagnetic anomaly" occurs and not the other types of deviation shown to exist in the present work. It may also be objected that no account is taken in the theory of the possible intramolecular actions but Reiche himself acknowledges this and notices it as a point requiring improvement.

An almost identical theory was published almost at the same time as that of Reiche but quite independently of the latter by Rotszajn 1).

Gans 2) has also published a theory of paramagnetism based on the quantum theory. In this theory the mutual interactions of the molecules is allowed for and the quantum theory is introduced by equating the mean energy of the molecule to

$$\frac{2hv}{h} = \frac{e^{\frac{hv}{kT}} - 1}{e^{\frac{hv}{kT}}}$$

the value given by the original Planck theory for an oscillator with two degrees of freedom. He then arrives at a formula which reproduces quite well the observations on platinum, the anhydrous and hydrated manganese sulphates, hydrated ferrous sulphate, anhydrous ferric sulphate, and liquid oxygen-nitrogen mixtures. It must be observed, however, that the method of the introduction of the quantum theory is not very satisfactory theoretically and that the theory does not explain the newer types of deviation from the Weiss law at low temperatures.

In reviewing the present position of the theory of paramagnetism, it may be said that no satisfactory quantitative theory embracing all the known facts exists at present. Thus,

1) Ann. der Phys., 57, 81, 1918.
though Foëx's theory explains the existence of the various types of deviation, it is in no wise quantitative, while the quantitative theories such as that of Reiche, which may be considered as fairly satisfactory theoretically, give no explanation of the other types of deviations from the Weiss law than that known as the "cryomagnetic anomaly".
PART II.

MEASUREMENTS OF THE PRINCIPAL SUSCEPTIBILITIES OF CRYSTALS.
Very few instances of trustworthy quantitative measurements of the magnetic properties of crystals are to be found in the literature and still fewer data on the temperature dependence of the principal susceptibilities. We may pass over without further reference the earlier more or less qualitative investigations of Plücker, Beer, Grailich and v. Lang, Hankel, Tyndall, Rowland and Jacques, Strenger and König. The first reliable measurements of the principal susceptibilities of crystals are those of Voigt and Kinoshita. The latter investigators developed a new method of measurement and determined the properties of the following substances at atmospheric temperature: — regular — rock salt, alum, lead nitrate, fluor-spar, pyrites, zinc blende; magnetically uniaxial — calc-spar, dolomite, quartz, beryl, rutile, turmaline, apatite, zircon; crystals with three different principal susceptibilities — topas, coelestine, aragonite.

Their work was continued by Finke who investigated

2) Beer, Pogg. Ann. 81, 1850; 82, 1858.
the magnetic properties of a number of paramagnetic and diamagnetic substances, principally crystals of the monoclinic system at atmospheric temperatures. His results are given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal</th>
<th>( \chi_1 \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>( \chi_2 \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>( \chi_3 \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>( \psi )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Augite *</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>-70°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende *</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>-21°55'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adular</td>
<td>-1.09</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-13°30'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cane Sugar*</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-1°50'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSO₄·(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O.</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>-18°58'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoSO₄·(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>-27°31'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiSO₄·(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>-16°17'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoSO₄·CuSO₄·6H₂O *</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>-52°54'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoSO₄·K₂SO₄·6H₂O</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>-21°20'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>+30°10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>-39°40'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 \) give the three principal susceptibilities of the crystal, \( \chi_1 \) and \( \chi_2 \) being the susceptibilities in the symmetry plane of the monoclinic crystals and \( \chi_3 \) along the symmetry axis, \( \psi \) is the angle between the axis of greatest susceptibility and the crystallographic c axis. The numbers for the substances marked with an asterisk denote susceptibility per unit mass, the other numbers susceptibility per unit volume.

Of the investigations of the temperature dependence of the magnetic properties of crystals, we may note the early work of Faraday 1) extended later by Lutteroth 2). The latter investigator determined the temperature dependence of the differences of the susceptibilities of a number of crystals in two mutually perpendicular planes orientated in known direct-

---

ions with regard to the crystallographic axes. The substances investigated were the following: — monoclinic paramagnetic — nickel potassium sulphate, cobalt potassium sulphate, cobalt sulphate, monoclinic diamagnetic — zinc potassium sulphate, zinc ammonium sulphate, rhombic paramagnetic — nickel sulphate, rhombic diamagnetic — zinc sulphate.

Sections of each of these crystals were suspended about a vertical axis by means of a quartz fibre and the difference in susceptibility of the crystal in a plane perpendicular to the axis of suspension determined over a range of temperature of from 0° C. to about 50° C. In every case it was found that the results could be represented by the linear relation

\[ d_t = d_0 (1 + \alpha t), \]

in which \( d \) = difference in susceptibility at temperature \( t \).

\[ d_0 = d \] at temperature 0. \( t \) = temperature in degrees Centigrade.

The final results are given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>( \alpha_x )</th>
<th>( \alpha_y )</th>
<th>( \alpha_z )</th>
<th>( \frac{\alpha_y}{\alpha_z} )</th>
<th>( \frac{\alpha_x}{\alpha_y} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiK(_2)(SO(_4))(_6) \cdot 6H(_2)O . . .</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0,5512</td>
<td>+ 1.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoK(_2)(SO(_4))(_6) \cdot 6H(_2)O . . .</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0,2100</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnK(_2)(SO(_4))(_6) \cdot 6H(_2)O . . .</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0,6208</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0,4259</td>
<td>+ 1.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(NH(_4))(_2)(SO(_4))(_6) \cdot 6H(_2)O .</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0,4064</td>
<td>0.0,8310</td>
<td>+ 1.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiSO(_4) \cdot 7H(_2)O . . .</td>
<td>0.0,1341</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnSO(_4) \cdot 7H(_2)O . . .</td>
<td>0.0,1341</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoSO(_4) \cdot 7H(_2)O . . .</td>
<td>0.0,2602</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above table \( \alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_z \) denote the coefficients obtained when the crystal was suspended about the axes \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) respectively. \( X \) is the axis of the greatest, \( Y \) that of the middle and \( Z \) that of the least susceptibility. It will be observed that \( \alpha_y \) and \( \alpha_z \) for the monoclinic double sulphates are both negative, that is \( d_t \) decreases with rise in temperature. In the case of the rhombic sulphates \( \alpha_x \) is positive.
and $\alpha_y$ is negative and similarly with the monoclinic cobalt sulphate.

Thus the difference of susceptibility is dependent on the temperature whether the crystal is paramagnetic or diamagnetic. Again it will be seen that the ratios of the $\alpha_i$'s arrange themselves in classes determined by the symmetry of the crystal.

The first instance of the determination of the principal susceptibilities of a crystal over an extended range of temperature to be found in the literature is the measurement of siderose by Foëx. This substance is a naturally occurring ferrous carbonate containing appreciable quantities of the carbonates of manganese and the alkaline earth metals, belonging to the tetragonal system. We therefore know a priori that the three principal magnetic axes will lie along the crystallographic axes and that two of the former will be equal. Foëx therefore made measurements with a cylinder cut from the crystal in such a direction that its axis was parallel to the ternary axis of the crystal. Measurements were made giving the susceptibilities (1) parallel to the axis of the cylinder and (2) and (3) in two directions perpendicular to the axis and to one another. The results are given below.

| $T$ °K. | $\alpha$ parallel to axis | $\alpha$ perpendicular to axis | $\alpha$ perpendicular to axis | $\alpha$ mean.
|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------
| 87°    | 581.6                    | 180.3                       | 180.3                       | 314.1
| 200°   | 231.3                    | 111.6                       | 111.2                       | 151.1
| 295°.5 | 142.3                    | 84.2                        | 84.2                        | 103.6
| 315°.8 | 129.8                    | 79.4                        | 79.45                       | 96.2
| 335°.1 | 120.9                    | 76.1                        | 75.9                        | 90.9
| 356°.7 | 111.9                    | 72.5                        | 72.2                        | 85.5
| 375°.5 | 105.3                    | 69.5                        | 69.3                        | 81.4
| 401°.5 | 97.0                     | 66.1                        | 65.9                        | 76.8

The above figures give the susceptibilities calculated per unit mass of paramagnetic carbonate present and not per unit

1) Foëx, Thèse, Strasbourg 1921; Ann. de Phys., (9), 16, 174, 1921.
mass of the crystal itself. They are apparently to be multiplied by $10^{-6}$ but this does not appear to be stated. The equality of the columns 3 and 4 furnishes a test of the homogeneity of the crystal and of the accuracy of mounting.

The following important points may be noticed. The two curves of the above results for moderately high temperatures are very approximately straight lines i.e. the susceptibilities follow the law \( \chi (T + \Delta) = C \) and they are parallel to one another, showing that the Curie constant \( C \) is the same for the two cases. It will also be seen that the \( \Delta \)'s in the law \( \chi (T + \Delta) = C \) may be both positive and negative in the same crystal. (\( \Delta_1 = -60^\circ \) and \( \Delta_2 = +103^\circ \)). Thirdly the curves show deviations from the straight line law at lower temperatures and these deviations are in opposite directions in the two cases. These deviations occur however practically only at the very lowest temperature investigated and hence some small doubt may be attached to their reality. An extension of the work to lower temperatures would have been of considerable value as enabling this point to be settled definitely.

An account of the previous work on the magnetic properties of crystals as regards the theory will be found in a later section of the present work.

1) See page 85 of the thesis of Foëx.
CHAPTER II.

METHOD.

§ 1. Theory of the Method of Observation.

Two methods present themselves as suitable for the determination of the principal susceptibilities of a crystal at different temperatures viz: (1) the Curie method\(^1\), in which the attraction on a small body placed in a non-homogeneous magnetic field at the position of the maximum of \(H.dH/dx\) (\(x\) being the coordinate at right angles to the direction of the field is measured) and (2) the Weiss method\(^2\), in which the maximum couple exerted on a small cylinder of the crystal suspended in a homogeneous field is measured. The first method has the advantage that the observations give directly the value of the susceptibility of the crystal in a direction parallel to the \(x\) coordinate, whereas the second method gives only the difference of the susceptibilities in the plane perpendicular to the direction of suspension of the crystal cylinder. The Curie method however presents considerably greater difficulties from the cryogenic point of view than the Weiss method, so that it was decided to apply the latter method to the present measurements.

For each crystal the three principal susceptibilities \(\chi_1\), \(\chi_2\),

---

\(^1\) P. Curie, J. de Phys. (3) 4, p. 197 and p. 263, 1895. See also C. R. 116, p. 136, 1893.

\(^2\) P. Weiss, J. de Phys. (4), 6, p. 655, 1907.

See also P. Weiss and H. Kamerlingh Onnes: Leiden Comm. No. 114.
The susceptibilities \( \chi_a \), \( \chi_b \), and \( \chi_c \) have to be determined and, for all crystals not belonging to one of the rectangular crystal systems (regular or cubic, tetragonal and rhombic), also the angles defining the orientation of the magnetic axes with respect to the crystallographic axes. To determine these \( n \) unknowns we must have of course \( n \) independent equations involving them. Of these \( n \) equations we may obtain \( n-1 \) equations by measuring the differences of susceptibilities of crystal sections orientated in \( n-1 \) different directions with respect to the crystallographic axes. The final equation must involve some absolute value of the susceptibilities in order to make it possible to calculate the values of the \( n \) unknowns, since the other \( n-1 \) equations involve only differences of susceptibility. This last requirement could not of course be obtained with the Weiss method but it did not involve further measurements, since the value of the mean of the three principal susceptibilities of the crystals under consideration had already been determined by the measurements on the powders described in the previous section of the present work and this quantity could be used in the calculations.

The theory underlying the Weiss method as applied to the measurements of the susceptibilities of crystals will now be given. Let \( \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 \) by the principal susceptibilities of the crystal. Then the formulæ for the induction ellipsoid gives immediately

\[
\chi_1 X^2 + \chi_2 Y^2 + \chi_3 Z^2 = 1.
\]

Let \( a, b, c \) be the principal axes of the induction ellipsoid; then we have

\[
\frac{x}{a^2} + \frac{y}{b^2} + \frac{z}{c^2} = \frac{1}{r^2}.
\]

The susceptibility \( \chi_r \) corresponding to a definite direction \( r \), of which the direction cosines are \( x, \beta, \gamma \), is then given by

\[
\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{\beta^2}{b^2} + \frac{\gamma^2}{c^2} = \frac{1}{r^2}.
\]
or
\[ \chi_4 \alpha^2 + \chi_2 \beta^2 + \chi_3 \gamma^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} = \chi_r \quad \ldots \quad (1) \]

Let the crystal now be brought into the magnetic field and let the direction \( r \) be parallel to the field; then the energy resulting from the introduction of the crystal into the field is equal to

\[ E = \frac{1}{2} \chi_r H^2. \]

If now the crystal is suspended about a vertical axis and the magnetic field is in a horizontal plane, then the crystal will tend to turn into such a position that the energy is a minimum. In this connection only the susceptibilities, which lie in that ellipse, which is the section of the induction ellipsoid by the horizontal plane, are to be taken into consideration.

In fig. 4 let \( a \) and \( b \) be the axes of this ellipse and \( \chi'_1 \) and \( \chi'_2 \) the corresponding susceptibilities. From considerations of symmetry it follows that equilibrium occurs for \( r = a \) and \( r = b \). For every other direction there occurs a couple, which is determined by

\[ \frac{dE}{d\phi} = \frac{d \left( \frac{1}{2} \chi H^2 \right)}{d\phi} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \quad (2) \]

Since \( H \) is constant, this gives
\[ \frac{d\chi}{d\phi} = \frac{d\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)}{d\phi} = \frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{\cos^2 \phi}{a^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \phi}{b^2} \]

\[ \frac{d\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)}{d\phi} = -\frac{2 \cos \phi \sin \phi}{a^2} + \frac{2 \cos \phi \sin \phi}{b^2} = \sin 2\phi \left(\frac{1}{b^2} - \frac{1}{a^2}\right) = (\gamma'_2 - \gamma'_4) \sin 2\phi \]

\[ \frac{dE}{d\phi} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma'_2 - \gamma'_4) \sin 2\phi H^2 = \text{couple} = C \ldots \ (3) \]

We will now describe how the susceptibilities along the principal magnetic axes and the positions of the latter for the two substances as yet investigated (nickel sulphate heptahydrate and cobalt ammonium sulphate) can be obtained by observations of the couple, whose value is given by equation (3). This couple is measured for various section plates cut in different and definitely known directions from the crystal.

We will consider first the case of nickel sulphate, \( \text{NiSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \). As the heptahydrated form crystallises in the rhombic system, the positions of the magnetic axes are fixed \textit{a priori}, since they must be parallel to the crystallographic axes. We thus have to determine \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \). For this purpose we require two cylinders cut from the crystal in known directions. Nickel sulphate heptahydrate crystallises in the form shown in fig. 5. The crystals are elongated along the direction of the crystallographic \( c \) axis and are also sometimes tabular parallel to the \( m \) face (110). It was therefore decided to cut the crystal sections in the following manner-viz: (1) axis of cylinder parallel to the \( c \) axis and (2) axis of cylinder perpendicular to the \( m \) face.

Then a measurement of the couple exerted on cylinder (1)
will give the value of $\chi_a - \chi_b = c$ and the cylinder (2) will give $\chi_c - \chi_m = m$, where $\chi_a, \chi_b, \chi_c, \chi_m$ are the susceptibilities parallel to the directions $a, b, c, m$ respectively i.e. perpendicular to the planes (100), (010), (001), (110). Now $\chi_a, \chi_b, \chi_c$ are respectively equal to $\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3$ and $\chi_m$ is given by

$$\chi_m = \chi_1 \cos^2 \phi + \chi_2 \sin^2 \phi$$  (see fig. 6)

in which $\phi$ is equal to $\tan^{-1} (b/a) = \tan^{-1} (1/0.9815 = 45^\circ32')$.

Rewriting the above relations and adding the value of the mean susceptibility derived from the measurements on the powdered material, we have the following equations from which to determine $\chi_1, \chi_2$ and $\chi_3$.

$$\chi_1 - \chi_2 = c \ldots \ldots \ldots (1)$$

$$\chi_3 - (\chi_1 \cos^2 \phi + \chi_2 \sin^2 \phi) = m \ldots \ldots \ldots (2)$$

$$\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 = 3a \ldots \ldots \ldots (3)$$

Solving these equations, we find for the principal susceptibilities the following values
\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_1 &= \frac{1}{3} (3a - 2c - m - c \cos^2 \phi), \\
\chi_2 &= \frac{1}{3} (3a - c - m - c \cos^2 \phi), \\
\chi_3 &= \frac{1}{3} (3a - c - 2m - 2c \cos^2 \phi).
\end{align*}
\]

Cobalt ammonium sulphate CoSO₄·(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O.

This substance belongs crystallographically to the monoclinic system. In such a system we only know a priori that one of the magnetic axes, let us say \( \chi_3 \), lies along the direction of the symmetry axis of the crystal, i.e. the \( b \) axis, and that the other two magnetic axes lie in the symmetry plane, i.e. the plane containing the crystallographic \( a \) and \( c \) axes. We have thus to determine the positions of the magnetic axes in the symmetry plane in addition to the values of the principal susceptibilities. For the former purpose it will be sufficient to determine the angle \( \psi \), which \( \chi_1 \), the axis of greatest susceptibility, makes with the \( c \) axis (see figure).¹)

Cylinders were ground from the crystal with their axes respectively perpendicular to the plane (100), (010), 001). Then measurements of the couples exerted on these crystal cylinders will give the values of
\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_3 - \chi_c &= a \\
\chi_2 - \chi_a &= b \\
\chi_4 - \chi_2 &= c
\end{align*}
\]

From these quantities and adding the value of the mean susceptibility derived from measurements with the powdered material we obtain the following equations for \( \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 \) and \( \psi \).
\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_3 - (\chi_4 \cos^2 \psi - \chi_2 \sin^2 \psi) &= a \ldots (1) \\
\chi_2 - (\chi_4 \cos^2 (\psi + \beta) - \chi_2 \sin^2 (\psi + \beta)) &= b \ldots (2) \\
\chi_4 - \chi_2 &= c \ldots (3) \\
\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 &= 3m \ldots (4)
\end{align*}
\]

¹) This mode of defining the positions of the magnetic axes is adopted so that the results may be directly comparable with those of Fink loc. cit.
in which $\beta$ is the known crystallographic angle between the $a$ and $c$ axes.

From (1) with (3) and (4) we obtain

$$\kappa_3 - c \cos^2 \psi - \kappa_2 = a$$

and from (2)

$$\kappa_3 - c \cos^2 (\psi + \beta) - \kappa_2 = b.$$  

Subtracting we have

$$c \left( \cos^2 (\psi + \beta) - \cos^2 \psi \right) = a - b.$$

This may be transformed into

$$c \left( (l \cos \psi - n \sin \psi)^2 - \cos^2 \psi \right) = a - b,$$

in which

$$l = \cos \beta, \quad n = \sin \beta, \quad l^2 n^2 = 1.$$  

From this we obtain

$$\left( (l^2 - n^2 - 1) \cos^2 \psi - 2ln \cos \psi \sqrt{(1 - \cos^2 \psi)} \right) = (a - b) / c - n^2 = k$$

$$4 l^2 n^2 \cos^2 \psi (1 - \cos^2 \psi) = k^2 + 4 n^4 \cos^4 \psi + 4 n^2 k \cos^2 \psi.$$  

Solving for $\cos^2 \psi$ we find

$$\cos^2 \psi = \frac{(l^2 n^2 - n^2 k)}{2 (n^4 + l^2 n^2)} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \left( l^2 n^2 - n^2 k \right)^2 - \left( n^4 - l^2 n^2 \right) k^2}$$

Treating $\psi$ now as known we obtain from equations (1), (3) and (4)

$$\kappa_4 = \frac{1}{3} (3m - 2c - a - c \cos^2 \psi)$$

$$\kappa_2 = \frac{1}{3} (3m - c - a - c \cos^2 \psi)$$

$$\kappa_3 = \frac{1}{3} (3m + c - 2a + 2c \cos^2 \psi)$$

\[5\]

\section{Apparatus and Materials.}

The apparatus, by means of which the method theoretically described in the previous pages was applied to the measurement of the principal susceptibilities of crystals at low tem-
peratures, will now be described. As it was necessary to shut off from the air the space in which the crystal was suspended and which also contained the liquefied gas and its vapour, a fairly complicated cryogenic apparatus had to be employed. This is shown in section in Pl. 1. It consists principally of three tubular portions which, naming from the outside inwards, we may call the cover, the adjusting tube \( f \) and the holder \( b \).

The cover consists of a silvered vacuum tube \( a \), a brass tube \( B \), a glass tube \( C \) and a cap \( D \) which closes the apparatus.

The crystal holder \( b \) is made from a long thin brass tube \( bb \). At the lower end of this tube a copper ring \( r \) is fastened. A small copper cylindrical cup fits into this ring with considerable friction and holds the crystal, which is fastened into the cup with the smallest possible amount of wax or Canada balsam. The whole holder is very light and is constructed of practically non-magnetic material in order to make the corrections, which must be applied to the observations for the inherent magnetism of the apparatus, as small as possible. The holder is connected to the rod \( k \) by the wire \( g_2 \) and the spring \( g_1 \). In order to make the equilibrium of the suspended system stable and to prevent the crystal from being attracted to the poles of the magnet the holder is held fast underneath by a thin wire \( d \), fastened to a small projection on the lower side of the crystal holder; the other end of this wire is held in place by a small cone of brass which fits into a hole in the bottom of the adjusting tube. By means of the spring \( g_1 \) a small tension can be put on the wires \( g_2 \) and \( d \) without risk of breaking them. For this purpose the spring \( g_1 \) is so constructed so that it can be easily elongated in the direction of \( g_2 \) but serves, with all the accuracy necessary, as an immovable connection as far as torsion is concerned.

The turning of the crystal in the magnetic field is brought by the tube \( bb \) to the mirror \( b_1 \) by means of which the deflections of the crystal holder can be read through the opening \( f_{10} \) and the window \( C_2 \) on a glass scale divided into
millimeters, placed at 2.2 meters distance and illuminated by means of spherical mirror strips.

The tension on the wires can be regulated by the rod $k$ which passes through the packing-gland $D_1$ in the cap $D_2$. The rod $k$ may be moved up and down by turning the nut $D_3$ and at the same time preventing the rotation of $k$.

As the holder is very light and under tension, it has a great tendency to vibrate under the influence of small shocks. In some preliminary experiments this tendency was found to be so great as to make it impossible to read the scale when machinery was in motion in the building. A damping arrangement, similar to that used by Kamerlingh Onnes and Perrier \(^1\) was therefore attached to the upper end of the tube $bb$. It consists of a small cylinder to which are attached four vanes which dip into an annular oilbath. The vanes must be entirely immersed in the oil in order to prevent the occurrence of capillary actions which lead to couples on the suspended system which are not negligible.

As Weiss and Kamerlingh Onnes \(^2\) have observed, it is also necessary to free the oil from all volatile substances and to prevent the occurrence of bubbles of air under the oil, since the apparatus has to be evacuated after it has been completely mounted. With this damping arrangement (supplemented in strong magnetic fields by the damping due to the Foucault currents induced in the well conducting holder) the deflections of the suspended system were easily and accurately readable.

The whole holder and the wires hang in the adjusting tube $f$, the upper end of which is screwed to the cap $D_2$, which also carries the rod $k$ and itself rests on the glass tube $C_1$. The cross section of the adjusting tube which consists of three parts $f_1-f_{a2}$ decreases three times; the narrowest lower part $f_3$ surrounds the crystal holder as closely as possible. Against the bottom $f_4$ rests the small cone $c$, to which the lower wire $d$ is soldered and which serves to

---

\(^1\) Leiden Communication N°. 116

\(^2\) Ibid N°. 114, p 20.
maintain the tension on the suspended system. A small slot in the bottom allows the small cone to be placed in position. In order to mount the adjusting tube, already containing the crystal holder, in position in the cover, the cap \( D_2 \) is fitted into the bronze ring, which is fastened to the glass tube \( C_1 \) of the cover and the joint is made air tight by means of the rubber ring \( D_4 \). This latter is smeared with rubber solution and bound tight with wire. The lower end of the glass tube \( C_1 \) is fastened to a second tube \( B_1 \) of the cover, the middle part of which carries a ring \( n \), in which the rods \( B_3 \) holding the vacuum glass terminate.

The Dewar glass itself consists of a lower narrow part, which is completely silvered and an upper wider part, which is silvered as far as \( a \); the upper part is left transparent so that it may be possible to ascertain that too much of the liquefied gas is not allowed to enter the vacuum-glass. The latter fits into the brass tube \( B_1 \) and is protected by a wooden ring. The rods \( B_3 \) hold the glass in its place and at such a height that it is just free of the wooden ring. The connection between the vacuum-glass and the cover is made airtight by means of a rubber ring, smeared with rubber solution and fastened with copper wires. The upper part of the vacuum-glass has an outer diameter of 4.9 cms. and an inner diameter of 3.8 cms.; the lower part has an outer diameter of 1.85 cms. and an inner diameter of 1.45 cms.

Into the tube \( B_1 \) is soldered the steel capillary of a helium thermometer with a german-silver reservoir \( \delta_1 \) and a glass stem \( \delta_2 \). The quantity of helium is so chosen that at the boiling point of nitrogen the mercury stands at a mark in the lower portion of the stem and at the melting point of hydrogen at one in the upper portion. The thermometer is not used to measure the temperature of the liquefied gas but to indicate the level of the latter in the vacuum-glass. As soon as the level sinks below the upper end of the reservoir of the thermometer, the mercury sinks in the stem \( \delta_1 \).

The liquefied gas is introduced into the apparatus through
the german silver tube $B_2$. The gas formed by evaporation escapes through $B_2$ and through valves to a gasholder or to a vacuum pump. By means of the valves the vapour pressure is regulated and its value is read off on a manometer. Before introducing the liquefied gas through the tube $B_1$, which is closed by a rubber tube with a glass stopper, the air is pumped out of the apparatus through $B_2$.

To prevent the cooling of the upper portion of the apparatus containing the torsion wire by the escaping vapours, a number of large openings are made in the tube $f_2$ arranged in such a way that its resistance to torsion is not impaired. In addition to this, copper baffle-plates surrounding $f_2$ and soldered to $B_3$ are arranged so that the tube can move with slight friction in them. The refilling of the apparatus with liquefied gas takes place in the same manner as the first filling. Generally several series of observations can be carried out with a single filling.

*The Electromagnet.*

This is the same as was used in the measurements of the susceptibilities of the powders described in the previous section of this work. It was mounted on a turntable so that it could be rotated into any position about a vertical axis. The azimuth of the magnet and hence the direction of the magnetic field can be read on a circular scale, divided into degrees and attached to the turntable. The pole distance used in the present measurements was 30 mms.

*The Crystals.*

The crystals, from which the cylindrical sections used in the measurements were ground, were prepared by the slow evaporation of a saturated solution at atmospheric temperature, according to the method described by Tutton (Crystallography and Practical Crystal Measurement Part. 1, p. 14). By this means large crystals of excellent quality can easily be obtained. The best crystals in a number of crops were chosen and employed in preparing the sections. Small cylinders
had then to be ground from the crystals in such a way that the axes of the cylinders were orientated in known directions with regard to the crystallographic axes. As the accuracy, with which the measurements could be made with the cryomagnetic apparatus, was of the order one per cent., it was not thought necessary employ an accurate grinding goniometer for the preparation of the crystal sections. A crystal was mounted on the end of a brass rod in such a manner that the direction in the crystal, in which the cylinder axis was to lie, was coaxial with the rod. This adjustment could be made with all the accuracy that was necessary in the present case by taking advantage of the well developed faces of the crystal. The rod was then mounted in a lathe and the crystal carefully ground to a circular shape with fine emery. The crystal was then removed from the rod and the ends ground flat perpendicular to the length of the cylinder. No attempt was made to polish the cylinder as this was quite unnecessary for the present purpose and as the use of the usual polishing materials, since they themselves possess strongly magnetic properties, would render the measurements doubtful or even useless.

§ 3. Method of Observation.

The course of the observations is very simple when one the apparatus has been set up in order. We have to determine the maximum value of the couple exerted on the crystal by the magnetic field. This couple is, by theory, connected with the azimuth of the magnetic field by the relation

\[ C = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2) \cdot m \cdot H^2 \cdot \sin 2\Theta \]

in which \( \Theta \) is the angle between one of the magnetic axes \( \kappa_1 \) or \( \kappa_2 \) and the direction of the field. The couple should then have a maximum value corresponding to the value 45° of \( \Theta \) and hence as the azimuth of the magnet is turned through 360° we should obtain four positions of maximum value of the couple distant 90° from the another. A test of the accurate working of the apparatus and of the magnitude of the corrections to be applied to the actual readings may
thus be made measuring the couple exerted on the crystal for a number of azimuths of the magnet and comparing the resultant curve connecting the observations with a true sine curve. Such an example is given in the next section.

As however it is the maximum value of the couple that is required, this maximum may be determined directly and not by interpolation on the experimental curve obtained connecting the magnitude of the couple and the azimuth of the magnetic field. Those azimuths of the electromagnet are first determined tentatively for which the couple is a maximum on either side of the zero. It is sufficient to perform these trials two or three times with a suitably chosen field strength, since the azimuth of the maximum couple changes but little with the field and for other values of the field we can, without risk of error, have recourse to interpolation. These positions of the magnet can be determined quite easily to within $0^\circ.5$ or $1^\circ$, which is sufficiently accurate as the value of the couple changes but little with the azimuth in the immediate neighbourhood of the maximum.

After this has been effected the observations are taken in the following manner. The magnet is set in one of the positions of maximum couple, the current through the coils is switched on and adjusted to a definite value. Before any readings are taken however, the current is reversed several times to ensure a well defined field, for, as a couple proportional to the square of the field has to be measured, any inaccuracies in the value of the field strength, which might be obtained notwithstanding the fact that the iron of the electromagnet core was extremely soft, would have considerable influence on the results. Having adjusted the current finally to a definite amount, the value of the couple was estimated as given below; the current was then reversed and the couple determined again. The same observations were then repeated with the magnet in one of the other positions of maximum couple. The whole process was carried out every time for a whole series of different values of the field strength.
Measurement of couple.

When small values of the field strength were employed at atmospheric temperatures, the deflection of the suspended system was generally of such a magnitude as to be accurately readable on the scale. In this case then the couple was estimated by measuring the deflection, both torsion wires thus contributing to the opposing torsional couple. For greater values of the field however and for the lower temperatures at which the susceptibilities of the crystals are much greater, the deflection of the suspended system was too great to be read on the scale. The constant of the suspension wires had been purposely chosen so that this might occur and hence make possible the application of the method of observation now to be described.

When working at the low temperatures obtainable with the various liquefied gases, the upper part of the apparatus, on account of the presence of the baffle plates at \( f_{22} \), remains throughout at atmospheric temperature; hence of the two wires \( g_2 \) and \( d \), \( g_2 \) is always at atmospheric temperature and \( d \) takes up the temperature of the bath. If therefore both wires were used in the observations at low temperatures by allowing the suspended system to be deflected, we should require a knowledge of the elastic properties of the wire at the low temperatures so that a correction might be applied to the value of the constant of the wire for its change with temperature. This, however, may be eliminated by the following procedure.

After the current in the magnet has been switched on and the suspended system has suffered a deflection, the graduated head \( S \) attached to the top of the rod \( k \) is turned until the suspended system is nearly in its original position in the absence of the magnetic field as shown by the scale and telescope. The angle through which the torsion head has been turned is then read by means of the small reading microscope \( M \). No attempt is made to bring the crystal holder exactly into its original position but the torsion head is turned through an exact number of divisions of the scale engraved on \( S \).
until the deflection has been reduced to not more than one or two centimeters on the glass scale. This was done because the accuracy with which the deflections could be read was thereby much increased, for, as the scale on the torsion head was not very finely divided, readings obtained by estimating parts of a division might contain considerable errors. Then the value of the couple could be obtained as follows. Let $2x$ be the angle through which the torsion head had to be turned, $x_1 - x_2$ the difference in scale readings respectively in the presence and in the absence of the magnetic field, $d$ the distance of the scale from the mirror on the suspended system, and $C_1$ and $C_2$ the constants of the upper wire and the combined upper and lower wires respectively (both being the values corresponding to ordinary temperature). Then the couple exerted on the crystal is given by

$$C_1x + C_2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2d} \right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (1)$$

which was used for the calculations in the form

$$C_1 \left\{x + \left( \frac{l_1 + l_2}{l_1} \right) \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2d} \right) \right\} \ldots \ldots \ldots (1a)$$

in which $l_1$ and $l_2$ are the lengths of the upper and lower suspension wires respectively.

In employing (1) (actually in the form (1a) ) to calculate the couples obtained at low temperatures, it will be noticed that the value $C_2$ of the constant of the suspension wires used is actually the value holding at atmospheric temperatures, although the lower wire, which contributes a part of the couple, is at the low temperature. This is permissible as the constant of the lower wire will not change greatly with temperature in the region of low temperatures and as the introduction of the effect of temperature on the value of $C_2$ will only bring with it a fairly small correction to a term which is itself already a small correction to the value of the couple.
Measurement of Temperature.

For the low temperatures this was carried out as described in Part I p. 19 by determining the pressure under which the particular liquefied gas was boiling at the time of the experiment.

Measurement of Magnetic Field.

The field was, as before, characterised by the strength of the current in the coils of the electromagnet, reference then being made to a table of field strengths compiled as a result of previous calibrations.

Sources of Error and Corrections.

We will now examine the sources of error incidental to the method of experiment and any corrections which must be applied to the actual readings. As possible sources of uncertainty we may note

1. Inhomogeneity of the field.
2. Influence of the crystal holder.
3. Asymmetries in the apparatus and its mounting.

We will deal with these in turn.

1. An inhomogeneity of the magnetic field will bring with it an additional couple dependent on the lack of homogeneity of the field in a plane perpendicular to the axis of suspension of the crystal. As the inhomogeneity in the present case was such that the change of the field strength over a volume of one cubic centimeter did not amount to more than one part in a thousand, the correction to be applied to the observations fell within the limits of accuracy of the experiments and hence might be neglected.

2. Although the crystal holder was made of material which was free from ferromagnetic substances and was but weakly magnetic itself, the apparatus showed certain deflections when mounted precisely as when the actual observations were taken but without any crystal in the holder. At atmospheric temperatures these deflections were systematic but quite small, so that a small correction could be applied
to the observations to allow for the effect of the holder itself. At low temperatures however, the deflections due to the holder itself were quite unsystematic, being on opposite sides of the zero for opposite directions of the current in the magnetic coils for the same position of the magnet and were not proportional to the square of the field. The effect was thus to bring in certain (small) asymmetries into the readings at low temperatures. It was however found that the effect on the results of these asymmetries could be eliminated by employing the method of observation previously described.

(3) Asymmetries in the apparatus and in its mounting also cause asymmetries in the deflections which are also eliminated by the method of observation.
CHAPTER III.

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS.

§ 1. Observations.

Calibration of Torsion Wires.

The torsion wires were calibrated in the following manner. A small metal body of suitably large moment of inertia was attached to an accurately measured length of wire cut from the same reel as that used for the torsion wires. The system was then suspended from the free end of the wire and caused to perform torsional oscillations, the period of which was then determined. A body of known moment of inertia in the shape of a ring was then added to the system and the period of the torsional oscillations again determined. Then the constant of the wire per unit length could be calculated as follows.

Let $t_1$ be the time of oscillation with ring.

$t_2$ " " " " without ring.

$K_3$ " " moment of inertia of ring.

$K_2$ " " " " " " system without ring.

Then for $K_2$ we have the relation

$$K_2 = \frac{K_2 t_2^2}{t_1^2 - t_2^2}.$$ 

Further we have

$$t = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{K}{D}}.$$
Then $D$, the constant of the wire, is equal to

$$\frac{4\pi^2 K}{l^2}.$$

or constant per unit length $= \frac{4\pi^2 K}{l l^2}$.

The mean of a number of determinations gave the value noted, below for the constant of the wire per unit length per radian angular displacement.

$$D = 414.7.$$

**Preliminary Test of Apparatus.**

In order to test the good working of the apparatus when set up in the usual manner, a crystal was mounted and the deflections determined as a function of the azimuth of the magnet for a number of field strengths. The theory indicates that the observations should lie on a sine curve. An examination of the results showed that the theory and observation were in satisfactory agreement and hence that the apparatus was working well. An example of such a series of observations is given below.

**Cobalt Ammonium Sulphate. Crystal c.**

mass of crystal $= 0.1250$ grms.
temperature $= 16^\circ.0$ C. length of upper wire $= 8.5$ cms.
pole distance $= 21.4$ mms. ,, ,, lower ,, $= 5.52$ cms.
current in magnet coils $= 4$ amps.
distance of mirror from scale $= 227$ cms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAGNET</th>
<th>SCALE READINGS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>38.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>38.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>38.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>38.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>38.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The numbers under the heading "magnet" denote the positions of the magnet in degrees as shown on the scale attached to the turn table. The figures in the other columns denote the readings of the glass scale expressed in centimeters. The observations are exhibited graphically in fig. 8.

**Specimen Set of Observations.**

The set of observations given below may serve as a specimen of the results obtained in the measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAGNET</th>
<th>SCALE READINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>38.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>38.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>38.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>38.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>38.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>38.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>38.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>38.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>37.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>38.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>38.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>38.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>38.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>38.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>38.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>38.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>38.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>38.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>38.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>38.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>38.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>38.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>38.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cobalt Ammonium Sulphate.

Crystal $c_2$ (crystal cut with axis of cylinder perpendicular to $c$ face).

Mass of crystal = 0.087 grms. Distance of mirror from scale = 220.7 cms.

Length of upper torsion wire = 8.37 cms.

" lower " = 5.90 cms.

Pole distance = 30 mms.
Measurements in liquid nitrogen at 756.2 mms. pressure.
Hence temperature = 77°.3 K.
Zero at 125 & 43.5 cms.
Magnet current = 8 amps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAGNET.</th>
<th>READINGS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13°</td>
<td>(l) 116 &amp; 46.68 cms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(h) 116 &quot; 42.80 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283°</td>
<td>(h) 134 &quot; 35.80 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(l) 134 &quot; 40.50 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnet current = 10 amps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283°</td>
<td>(l) 141 &amp; 41.10 cms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(h) 141 &quot; 54.50 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13°</td>
<td>(h) 109 &quot; 35.5 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(l) 109 &quot; 35.7 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zero after experiments = 125 &amp; 43.3 cms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Further observations — data as before.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zero at 125 &amp; 43.1 cms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnet current = 14 amps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13°</td>
<td>(l) 96.1 &amp; 40.8 cms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(h) 96.1 &quot; 37.4 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(h) 36 &quot; 36.5 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(repeated at end of expt.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283°</td>
<td>(h) 154 &amp; 48.2 cms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(l) 154 &quot; 53.6 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnet current = 12 amps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283°</td>
<td>(l) 146 &amp; 41.3 cms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(h) 146 &quot; 45.2 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13°</td>
<td>(h) 102 &quot; 38.1 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(l) 102 &quot; 29.4 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(last observations repeated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13°</td>
<td>(h) 102 &amp; 34.3 cms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(l) 102 &quot; 29.3 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnet current = 10 amps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13°</td>
<td>(l) 110 &amp; 45.2 cms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(h) 110 &quot; 39.9 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283°</td>
<td>(h) 140 &quot; 47.5 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(l) 140 &quot; 49.6 &quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Magnet current = 8 amps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>(l) 135 &amp; 46.5 cms.</th>
<th>(h) 135 51.0</th>
<th>(k) 114 35.7</th>
<th>(l) 114 37.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>283°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figures in the first column under the heading "Readings" denote the readings on the scale attached to the torsion head; the numbers followed by "cms." denote the readings on the glass scale expressed in centimeters. The letters $l$ and $h$ in brackets indicate the positions low and high of the reversing switch and hence the directions of the current in the magnet coils.

From the above data we may calculate first the angles corresponding to the differences in scale readings and secondly the values of the deflections i.e. the quantities which, when multiplied by $C_1$ (see (1a) p. 66), gives the values of the couple acting on the crystal.

**Angles from scale readings.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CURRENT.</th>
<th>ANGLE.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 amps.</td>
<td>(1) 0.825, (2) 0.182, (3) 2.154, (4) 0.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 &quot;</td>
<td>(1) 0.629, (2) 2.860, (3) 2.077, (4) 2.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 &quot;</td>
<td>(1) 0.596, (2) 1.480, (3) 1.823, (4) 2.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 &quot;</td>
<td>(1) 0.467, (2) 0.535, (3) 1.298, (4) 1.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 &quot;</td>
<td>(1) 0.585, (2) 0.830, (3) 1.141, (4) 0.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 &quot;</td>
<td>(1) 0.895, (2) 2.048, (3) 1.905, (4) 1.584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the above data we can calculate the values of the differences of susceptibility as given by the various series of observations. The results of the calculation are given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnet current.</th>
<th>Difference of susceptibility.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 amps</td>
<td>$11.34 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 &quot;</td>
<td>$10.67 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 &quot;</td>
<td>$10.54 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 &quot;</td>
<td>$10.85 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 &quot;</td>
<td>$10.84 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 &quot;</td>
<td>$10.16 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final Observations.

In the present section are given the final observations of the differences of susceptibility of the crystal sections of cobalt ammonium sulphate and nickel sulphate at the different temperatures investigated. The figures are throughout mean values derived from observations with various field strengths, no systematic dependence of the differences of susceptibility on the field strength being observed.
Cobalt Ammonium Sulphate.

I. Crystal $c$ — axis perpendicular to (001) plane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Difference of susceptibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$290^\circ$</td>
<td>$1.11 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>$10.7 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>$46.8 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>$56.8 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>$65.7 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Crystal $a$ — axis perpendicular to (100) plane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Difference of susceptibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$290.5^\circ$</td>
<td>$0.403 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.18</td>
<td>$1.88 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>$2.59 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>$12.10 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>$14.07 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. Crystal $b$ — axis perpendicular to (010) plane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Difference of susceptibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$289.7^\circ$</td>
<td>$3.88 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>$32.5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>$121.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>$153.8 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>$170.9 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nickel Sulphate Heptahydrate.

The final results for one of the crystal sections of nickel sulphate are given below in full as an example of the measurements on this crystal.

**Crystal c.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Magnet current</th>
<th>Difference of susceptibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>287.5°</td>
<td>10 amps.</td>
<td>$1.36 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 &quot;</td>
<td>$1.29 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 &quot;</td>
<td>$1.23 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>$1.29 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169.5°</td>
<td>14 &quot;</td>
<td>$5.79 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 &quot;</td>
<td>$5.77 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>$5.78 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.2°</td>
<td>14 &quot;</td>
<td>$1.65 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 &quot;</td>
<td>$1.70 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 &quot;</td>
<td>$1.72 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>$1.69 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.6°</td>
<td>14 &quot;</td>
<td>$2.28 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 &quot;</td>
<td>$2.20 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 &quot;</td>
<td>$2.22 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>$2.23 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43°</td>
<td>12 &quot;</td>
<td>$12.04 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 &quot;</td>
<td>$12.26 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>$12.18 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.07°</td>
<td>10 &quot;</td>
<td>$16.47 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 &quot;</td>
<td>$17.02 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 &quot;</td>
<td>$16.96 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>$16.91 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.65°</td>
<td>10 &quot;</td>
<td>$21.29 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 &quot;</td>
<td>$21.71 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>$21.50 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the other crystal section of nickel sulphate heptahydrate the noteworthy result was obtained that the difference of susceptibility decreased with fall in temperature instead of increasing as is the case with the other crystal sections. This result is in agreement with the observations of Lutteroth 1). The final values for this crystal section are given below.

1) Loc. cit.
Crystal m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Difference of susceptibility.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>289.0</td>
<td>$3.1 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169.5</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.29</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.83</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.65</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>$1.95 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§ 2. Final Results.

Cobalt Ammonium Sulphate.

From the observations given in the previous section we may draw graphs and with their aid read off a series of values of $a$, $b$ and $c$ (see page 77) in the immediate neighbourhood of the observations. The following are the values of $a$, $b$ and $c$ finally adopted in the calculation of the principal susceptibilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$a \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$b \times 10^6$</th>
<th>$c \times 10^6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>290°</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the above data we may next calculate the values of $\psi$. $\psi$ is however to be determined from the roots of a quadratic equation involving $\cos^2 \psi$. We thus obtain the following two series of alternative values for $\cos^2 \psi$. 
A calculation enables us to distinguish the applicable and inapplicable values (positive or negative) of the square roots to be used to determine \( \cos \psi \) and hence \( \psi \). We thus arrive at two alternative values for the angle viz:— about 27°40' and 135°31'. As the first value is in good agreement with the value 27°31' given by Finke, this value has been used in further calculation of the results.

Then calculating the principal susceptibilities\(^1\) from the expressions (5) on page 58 we obtain the following final values for these quantities.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
T \quad & \chi_1 \times 10^6 & \chi_2 \times 10^6 & \chi_3 \times 10^6 & \chi_m \times 10^6 & \psi \\
\hline
290^\circ & 26.1 & 21.9 & 25.5 & 24.6 & 31°18' \\
77^\circ.2 & 91.2 & 58.6 & 85.6 & 78.5 & 28°17' \\
20^\circ.3 & 286.5 & 165.3 & 277.4 & 241 & 27°8' \\
16^\circ.7 & 346 & 192 & 325 & 288 & 27°41' \\
14^\circ.5 & 388 & 213 & 365 & 322 & 27°40' \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

It will be seen that, with the exception of the value at atmospheric temperature, for which the precision of the determination is necessarily small, the values of \( \psi \) are fairly constant, showing that the magnetic axes preserve their orientation with regard to the crystallographic axes down to the lowest temperature investigated.

\(^1\) These values are calculated per unit mass. Hence the term “principal susceptibilities” should perhaps be more correctly “principal specific susceptibilities.”
In the following table are given the values of the molecular susceptibilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$\chi_1$</th>
<th>$\chi_2$</th>
<th>$\chi_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>290°</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77°</td>
<td>0.0360</td>
<td>0.0231</td>
<td>0.0383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.0654</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16°</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.0759</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14°</td>
<td>0.1534</td>
<td>0.0842</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For purposes of comparison with any theory of paramagnetism the above values must be corrected for the diamagnetic properties of the anion, ammonium sulphate and the water of crystallisation. The corrected values are given below.
In the above table the values of the inverses of the corrected molecular susceptibilities are added for convenience. The latter values are plotted as functions of the absolute temperature in fig. 9.

In testing the results for a Weiss law \( \chi (T + \Delta) = C \) we obtain the results given below.

Hence we have \( \Delta_1 = 9.8, \Delta_2 = 52.4, \Delta_3 = 14.6 \).

**Nickel Sulphate Heptahydrate.**

The results of the calculations of the principal susceptibilities of nickel sulphate heptahydrate will now be given. The final values for \( a, c \) and \( m \) use in the calculations will be found in the table below.
From the above data the following results were obtained in calculating \( \chi_1, \chi_2 \) and \( \chi_3 \) from the expressions (4). on page 57.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T )</th>
<th>( \chi_1 \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>( \chi_2 \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>( \chi_3 \times 10^6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>288°</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>16.58</td>
<td>16.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169°.5</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77°.29</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>76.45</td>
<td>77.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64°.5</td>
<td>92.65</td>
<td>90.35</td>
<td>91.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°.33</td>
<td>228.8</td>
<td>215.5</td>
<td>219.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16°.65</td>
<td>278.8</td>
<td>255.8</td>
<td>261.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14°.6</td>
<td>304.9</td>
<td>283.8</td>
<td>290.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculating the molecular susceptibilities we obtain the following values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T )</th>
<th>( \chi'_1 )</th>
<th>( \chi'_2 )</th>
<th>( \chi'_3 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>288°</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169°.5</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77°.29</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
<td>0.0215</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64°.5</td>
<td>0.0260</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
<td>0.0257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°.33</td>
<td>0.0641</td>
<td>0.0606</td>
<td>0.0618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16°.65</td>
<td>0.0783</td>
<td>0.0718</td>
<td>0.0734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14°.6</td>
<td>0.0856</td>
<td>0.0796</td>
<td>0.0815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These values must now be corrected for the diamagnetic properties of the anion and the water of crystallisation. The corrected figures are given below together with the inverses of the corrected molecular susceptibilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T )</th>
<th>( 1/\chi'_1 )</th>
<th>( 1/\chi'_2 )</th>
<th>( 1/\chi'_3 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>288°</td>
<td>209.2</td>
<td>211.0</td>
<td>209.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169°.5</td>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>101.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77°.29</td>
<td>45.45</td>
<td>46.30</td>
<td>45.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64°.5</td>
<td>38.31</td>
<td>39.22</td>
<td>38.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°.33</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>16.48</td>
<td>16.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16°.65</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>13.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14°.6</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>12.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Testing now for a Weiss law we obtain the following results with $\Delta_1 = -59.9$, $\Delta_2 = -58.0$, $\Delta_3 = -59.7$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$\chi_1^{im}(T-59.9)$</th>
<th>$\chi_2^{im}(T-58.0)$</th>
<th>$\chi_3^{im}(T-59.7)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>288°</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>1.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169.5</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>1.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>-2.540</td>
<td>-2.287</td>
<td>-2.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>-3.391</td>
<td>-2.973</td>
<td>-3.164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER IV.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS.

§ 1. Examination for the law $\chi(T + \Delta) = C$.

A glance at the graph (fig. 9) which gives the inverse of the principal susceptibilities of cobalt ammonium sulphate as functions of the absolute temperature will show immediately that down to about 80° K., the graphs consist of three approximately parallel straight lines, none of which passes through the zero. From this fact we may draw two important conclusions viz:—

1) that each of the principal susceptibilities follows the Weiss law $\chi(T + \Delta) = C$ (and not the simpler Curie law $\chi T = C$) down to about nitrogen temperatures and

2) the Curie constant $C$ is approximately the same in the three cases since $C$ is given by the slope of the curve.

The similar result of Foëx 1) thus receives confirmation from the present work.

In columns 2, 3 and 4 of the second table on page 82 are given the values of $\chi_{m1}(T + \Delta_1)$, $\chi_{m2}(T + \Delta_2)$ and $\chi_{m3}(T + \Delta_3)$ derived from the observations. The values of $\Delta_1$, $\Delta_2$, $\Delta_3$ are 9.8, 52.4 and 14.6 respectively, the greatest value belonging of course to the axis of smallest susceptibility. The values of $C$ derived from the calculations will be seen to be equal within the limits of accuracy of the experiment.

An examination shows that similar phenomenon are shown

1) Loc. cit.
by nickel sulphate heptahydrate. In this case also each of the principal susceptibilities follows the law \( \chi(T + \Delta) = C \) but only down to temperatures not lower than about 160° K. The Curie constant obtained for the three principal directions is again the same in the three cases. In columns 2, 3 and 4 of the last table on page 84 are given the results obtained in testing for the Weiss law. It will be seen that the values of the \( \Delta \)'s are all negative, \( \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3 \) being respectively equal to \(-59.9, -58.0\) and \(-59.7\).

From the above results it is immediately obvious that the Curie constant is a quantity of great significance in connection with the magnetic properties of crystals since it is a quantity which is equal along the principal magnetic axes. The Curie constant is of special significance in the theory of Weiss since from it the number of magnetons present in a substance is to be calculated from the following expressions.

\[
\sigma^2 = 3 \% (T + \Delta) R = 3 CR.
\]

in which \( n \) = number of magnetons,

\( \sigma \) = magnetic moment per gram-molecule,

\( R \) = gas constant per gram-molecule.

Performing such calculations for the three principal susceptibilities of a crystal we obtain, of course, the same number for the three cases, this number being, within the limits of accuracy of the knowledge of the \( \Delta \)'s, equal to the number obtained from similar calculations on the mean susceptibilities as determined from measurements with the powdered substance. This was to be expected as the latter number is the mean of the already equal numbers relating to the crystal.

---

1) No graph is given of the principal susceptibilities of nickel sulphate as the differences of the susceptibilities are so small as not to show clearly on a graph on any reasonable scale. The shape of the curves may however be visualised by comparison with the "mean" curve, the individual curves being all similar to the latter.

2) The experimental magneton of Weiss is of course meant here and not the theoretical magneton of Bohr.
It would therefore seem that the magnetic moments of the paramagnetic atoms in crystals are symmetrical with regard to the principal magnetic axes.

§ 2. Deviations from the Weiss law.

Considering first the case of cobalt ammonium sulphate, we will now examine in how far the law \( x(T + \Delta) = C \) holds for the lowest temperatures. It will be seen on examination of columns 2, 3 and 4 of the second table on page 82 and of the graphs in fig. 9 that this law ceases to be valid at temperatures lower than about 75° K. Thus the values of \( x(T + \Delta) \) instead of remaining constant become gradually greater, showing that the principal susceptibilities increase more rapidly with fall in temperature than is given by the Weiss law. These deviations from the Weiss law appear to be the exact counterpart of the deviation shown by anhydrous manganese sulphate in which the susceptibility increased less rapidly with fall in temperature than is given by the Weiss law.

Again the magnitudes of the deviations from the straight line law are in the inverse order of the magnitudes of the susceptibilities, the axis of smallest susceptibility showing the greatest deviation and vice versa.

Reference to the last table on page 84 will show that the principal susceptibilities of nickel sulphate heptahydrate deviate in a particularly interesting manner from the law of Weiss at low temperatures. Thus this substance commences to deviate from this law at a temperature of about 160° K., the susceptibilities remaining throughout less than would be given by the Weiss law. The curves for \( 1/x_m \) as functions of the absolute temperature are however somewhat complicated as they posses a point of inflection such that for temperatures above 70° K. and below 170° K. the curve is convex to the temperature axis but at temperature below 70° K. it is concave towards the temperature axis.

In each of the cases here considered the three principal susceptibilities when plotted as in the figures given here
follow the same type of curve as the mean susceptibility derived from measurements on the powdered material.

Thus the principal susceptibilities do not show phenomenon which are hidden when the mean is taken. This is, however, probably not a general phenomenon for Foëx from his measurements on siderose concludes that the principal susceptibilities of this show different types of deviation from the Weiss law for the various axes (see page 51).

§ 3. Connection of $\Delta$ with Crystal Structure.

As has been mentioned in Part I, Kamerlingh Onnes and Oosterhuis\(^1\) have suggested that the constant $\Delta$ is related in a simple manner to the distances between the paramagnetic atoms in a solid, $\Delta$ being greater the smaller the distance between the atoms in question. They also suggested that the values of $\Delta$ in the different directions in a crystal might be simply related to the corresponding linear concentrations of the paramagnetic atoms in these directions. In making this suggestion it was tacitly assumed that the diamagnetic atoms of the crystal exert no immediate magnetic action on the paramagnetic atoms but act merely as diluents.

This latter suggestion has now been tested and extended as will now be described. If the structure of either of the crystals investigated in the present work had been known, as a result of X-ray analysis, it would have been a simple matter to test the above-mentioned suggestion and determine the actual relation, if one really exists, connecting the $\Delta$'s with the corresponding spacings. Unfortunately we have no such data available for either of the crystals at present under consideration. The idea was therefore tested in the case of nickel sulphate heptahydrate in the following manner, which is avowedly of a provisional nature only. It was tried whether, in the light of the available crystallographic data, the nickel atoms could be arranged on a space lattice in agreement with the latter in such a manner that the $\Delta$'s could be calculated

\(^1\) Leiden Comm. N°. 139d.
immediately from a single simple law in terms of the spacings. If then the hypothetical space lattice could be tested by X-ray methods and found to be highly probable, some evidence would have been obtained for the correctness of the view that a simple relation exists between the $\Delta$'s and the corresponding spacings in a crystal. Should the hypothesis be verified by future measurements\textsuperscript{1)} for the cases of other crystals we should obtain a means of determining the structure of paramagnetic crystals at least as far as the paramagnetic atoms are concerned. The method would be particularly useful in the case of the more complicated crystal systems for which the X-ray analysis becomes very difficult if not impossible.

We possess the following data concerning nickel sulphate heptahydrate of use for our present purpose:—

$$\Delta_1 = -59.9, \quad \Delta_2 = -58.0, \quad \Delta_3 = -59.7,$$

$$a : b : c = 0.9815 : 1 : 5656,$$

Density $= 1.95 \ (1.953, 1.949, 1.955)$.

Now it was found that the values of $\Delta$ could be calculated accurately by means of the following expressions

$$\Delta_1 = a - bd_1,$$

$$\Delta_2 = a - bd_2,$$

$$\Delta_3 = a - bd_3,$$

provided that the nickel atoms were arranged on a space lattice as shown in fig. 10\textsuperscript{2)} $d_1, d_2, d_3$ are the distances between the successive planes of nickel atoms along the axes $a, b$ and $c$ respectively. An examination of the figure will show that the following relations hold between the $d$'s.

$$d_1 : d_2 : d_3 = 0.4907 : 1 : 0.5656.$$

\textsuperscript{1)} Unfortunately the case of cobalt ammonium sulphate could not be tested in a similar manner on account of the difficulty of interpreting the results of X-ray analysis in the case of a monoclinic crystal.

\textsuperscript{2)} It will be noticed that the suggested structure is in every way analogous to that found by Ogg and Horwood (Phil. Mag. 32, 518, 1916) for the case of the anhydrous alkali sulphates, which are also rhombic.
Then with $a = -61.79$ and $b = -3.77$ the calculated values of the $\Delta$'s are as given below.

$$
\Delta_1 = -59.94, \quad \Delta_2 = -58.02, \quad \Delta_3 = -59.64.
$$

It was not found possible to arrange the nickel atoms to fit the data assuming any other equally simple law, particularly that of the inverse proportionality of $\Delta$ and spacing, which was more particularly in mind when the suggestion of Kamerlingh Onnes and Oosterhuis mentioned above was made.

A specimen of the substance was then sent to Prof. Keesom of Utrecht who had the goodness to test the correctness of the hypothetical spacialattice shown in the above figure by the Debye-Scherrer method of X-ray analysis. He now reports that the tests have succeeded well, a good photograph having been obtained on which were twenty-four measureable lines. In making the test 326 lines emanating from all the possible planes of the suggested structure were calculated on the assumption that the remaining atoms of the crystal were arranged in the same manner as the nickel atoms and without taking into account the influence of the remaining atoms on the intensities of the lines. The observed and calculated lines were then compared as regards position and intensity. As a result of the comparison Prof. Keesom announces that the hypothetical structure suggested for the crystal is in agreement with the photograph obtained 1). It is, however, not possible

---

1) To give the actual words of the report. *De conclusie moet luiden, dat de Röntgenphoto in overeenstemming is met de aangegeven structuur, maar wegens de moeilijkheid van dit onderzoek bij een rhombisch
to state definitely that the photograph leads necessarily to
the suggested structure on account of the difficulty of inter­
preting the experimental results in the case of a rhombic
crystal. The test, however, furnishes considerable evidence of
the correctness of the suggested structure.

It would seem therefore that the conception of a simple
relation existing between the Δ's and the corresponding
spacings in a crystal receives support from the present work,
thus opening up a possible new field in the investigation of
crystal structure. It remains for future work to test the
point more fully.

§ 4. Comparison with existing theories.

The great majority of the theories put forward to explain
the phenomena of paramagnetism deal only with the mean
value of the susceptibility and not with the principal suscep­
tibilities of crystals. Thus the only theories actually relating
to crystals at present in existence are those of Weiss 1) and
Foëx 2). Weiss has considered independently the effect of
introducing into the Langevin theory of paramagnetism (1)
a molecular field different in different directions in the
crystal and (2) a potential energy which is a function of the
direction in the crystal and is intended to represent the
interaction of the atoms of the crystal lattice. Foëx has
combined these two ideas and has developed them further. We
will therefore confine ourselves here to the theory of Foëx.

This theory is based on the conceptions of the "classical"
electrodynamics and contains no reference to the quantum
theory. It rests on the following three assumptions.

(1) The "classical" kinetic theory holds and the heat
energy of the atoms is proportional to the absolute tempe­
rature.

1) C. R., 156, 1674 and 1836, 1913.
2) Thèse Strasbourg 1921; Ann. de physique, 16, 174, 1921.
(2) There exists a molecular field equal to \( n \sigma (\sigma = \text{magnetisation}) \) in which \( n \) is equal to \( n_1, n_2, n_3 \) for the three principal directions in the crystal.

(3) There are also forces due crystalline nature of the material such that there is a potential energy which is a function of the direction.

The assumption is also made and pains are taken to justify it that the two last named types of action are independent of one another.

It is then supposed that for each orientation of the atomic magnets there is a potential energy \( W \); then if \( \varphi \) is the angle between the direction of the magnetic moment of the atom and the field \( H \), \( d\omega \) the solid angle and \( R \) the gas constant as in the theory of Langevin, we find the following value for \( \tau \) the magnetisation at the temperature \( T \):

\[
\sigma = \frac{3CH}{T} \frac{\int e^{-\frac{W}{RT} \cos^2 \varphi} \, d\omega}{\int e^{-\frac{W}{RT}} \, d\omega} \cdots \cdots (1)
\]

in which \( C \) is the Curie constant.

Generalising now for the three principal directions in the crystal and denoting by \( y_1, y_2, y_3 \) the quantities along the principal axes corresponding to the ratio of the integrals

\[
y = \frac{\int e^{-\frac{W}{RT} \cos^2 \varphi} \, d\omega}{\int e^{-\frac{W}{RT}} \, d\omega},
\]

we find for the magnetisations along the principal axes

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_1 &= \frac{3CH}{T} \cdot y_1 \\
\sigma_2 &= \frac{3CH}{T} \cdot y_2 \\
\sigma_3 &= \frac{3CH}{T} \cdot y_3
\end{align*} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots (2)
\]
Introducing now a molecular field with the three coefficients \( n_1, n_2, n_3 \) we obtain the three following equations in place of (2).

\[
\sigma_1 = \frac{3CH}{T}(H + n_1\sigma_1)y_1 \\
\sigma_2 = \frac{3CH}{T}(H + n_2\sigma_2)y_2 \\
\sigma_3 = \frac{3CH}{T}(H + n_3\sigma_3)y_3
\]

From these expressions we can determine the principal susceptibilities as follows:

\[
\chi_1 = \frac{3Cy_1}{T - 3n_1Cy_1} \\
\chi_2 = \frac{3Cy_2}{T - 3n_2Cy_2} \\
\chi_3 = \frac{3Cy_3}{T - 3n_3Cy_3}
\]

In order to proceed any further with the theory some definite assumption must be made as to the dependence of the \( y \)'s, which represent actually the mean value of \( \cos^2 \alpha \) taken over all the atoms, on the temperature. The assumption is made in the form of two alternative graphs. Thus it is known a priori that at high temperatures \( y \) must approximate to \( \frac{1}{3} \) and at low temperatures must have a value between zero and 1. The shape of the curve of the dependence of the \( y \)'s on the temperature between these points is then assumed to be given by one of two possible graphs, in the first of which the rate of change of the value of \( y \) is everywhere of the same sign but in the second there is a point of inflection. On this basis the shape of the \( \frac{1}{\chi} \). \( T \) curves may be determined. It is shown that these curves are straight lines at the higher temperatures but at the lower temperatures they may show any of the following types of deviation from the straight line law.
(1) The curves may show a gradual curvature either concave or convex towards the temperature axis,
(2) they may possess a point of inflection such that the curvature changes from concave towards the temperature axis to convex or vice versa and
(3) a maximum and a minimum of susceptibility may occur at the lowest temperatures.

The theory can be more precise on certain points without the necessity of introducing the above assumptions. Thus for a cubic crystal we have

\[ n_1 = n_2 = n_3 \quad y_1 = y_2 = y_3 = 1/3 \]

\[ z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = \frac{C}{T - nC}. \]

Thus a regular crystal will obey the Weiss law or the Curie law depending on whether \( n \) is not or is equal to zero. This is confirmed in the case of ferric alum, a cubic crystal which follows the Curie law.

It may also be noticed that the Curie constant \( C \) is the same for each of the principal axes of the crystal in agreement with the results of Foëx for siderose and the present work on cobalt ammonium sulphate and nickel sulphate heptahydrate.

It will be seen thus that the theory can give a qualitative explanation of all the phenomena of the temperature dependence of the susceptibilities of paramagnetic substances including those announced in the present work. The theory must, however, be regarded as empirical and is from its very nature impossible of quantitative verification in its present form.
SUMMARY.

The work described in the previous pages is divided into two parts. In the first part is given an account of the investigation of the susceptibilities of the following paramagnetic substances as functions of the temperature down to the lowest temperature obtainable with liquid hydrogen (about $14^\circ$ K.): the anhydrous sulphates, the heptahydrated sulphates and the ammonium double sulphates of cobalt, nickel and ferrous iron, all in the powder form.

Whilst all these substances follow the Weiss law $\chi (T + \Delta) = C$ at relatively high temperatures, they show the following types of deviation from this law at the lower temperatures not found in previous investigations at the lowest temperatures.

1. The susceptibility increases more rapidly with fall in temperature than is given by the Weiss law. (Cobalt ammonium sulphate, nickel ammonium sulphate).

2. The curve of $\frac{1}{\chi}$ against $T$ possess a point of inflection. (Nickel sulphate heptahydrate).

3. A maximum and a minimum value of the susceptibility occur in the region of the lowest temperatures. (Anhydrous nickel sulphate, anhydrous ferrous sulphate).

It has been found that the idea of the intimate connection between the "magnetic dilution" of a substance and the $\Delta$ of the Weiss law suggested by Kamerlingh Onnes and Oosterhuis is not of quite general application, exceptions to the rule having been found.

In the second part of the work an account is given of the determination of the principal susceptibilities of two crystals over a range of temperature from about $14^\circ$ K. to $290^\circ$ K. These are the only data yet available as regards the principal susceptibilities of crystals at the lowest temperatures. The substances chosen were cobalt ammonium sulphate and nickel sulphate heptahydrate. In both cases each of the principal susceptibilities follows the Weiss law at the higher temperatures but deviates therefrom at the lower temperatures.
It was found that in each case the Curie constant is the same for each of the three principal susceptibilities.

The curves of $1/\chi$ against $T$ for cobalt ammonium sulphate which consist of three parallel straight lines at the higher temperatures, become concave towards the temperature axis at the lower temperatures, the magnitudes of the deviations from the straight line law are in the inverse order of the magnitudes of the susceptibilities as are also the $\Delta$'s of the Weiss laws $\chi_1(T+\Delta_1) = C$ etc. Hence each of the principal susceptibilities of this crystal increases more rapidly with fall in temperature than is given by the Weiss law which is obeyed at the higher temperature.

In the case of nickel sulphate heptahydrate each of the curves of the inverses of the principal susceptibilities against the temperature possesses a point of inflection, the curvature changing from convex towards the temperature axis to concave as the temperature falls below 70° K.

Thus in each case the principal susceptibilities show the same type of dependence on temperature as the mean susceptibility though this in probably not a general phenomenon as Foëx found opposite types of deviation in two of the principal susceptibilities of siderose.

An attempt has been made to test the suggestion of Kamerlingh Onnes and Oosterhuis that the $\Delta$'s along the principal axes should be intimately connected with corresponding spacings of the paramagnetic atoms, the $\Delta$ being greater the smaller the spacing. As a result a hypothetical space lattice has been suggested for the positions of the nickel atoms in nickel sulphate heptahydrate by using the magnetic data which has now been obtained. This hypothetical space lattice has been tested by the Debye-Scherrer method of X-ray analysis and it has been found that the X-ray photograph obtained is in agreement with the lattice suggested. Should the idea be confirmed by further measurement a new method will have been obtained for the investigation of the structure of paramagnetic crystals.
STELLINGEN.
STELLINGEN.

I.

De bewering van Foëx, dat de quanten-theorie niet noodig is voor de verklaring van het paramagnetism, is weinig overtuigend.

Foëx, Thèse, Strasbourg, 1921;
Ann. de physique, (9), 16, 174, 1921.

II.

De eenvoudige betrekking tusschen de magnetische verdunning van een stof en de waarde van zijn $\Delta$ in de wet van Weiss $x(T+\Delta)=C$, zooals die opgesteld is door Kamerlingh Onnes en Oosterhuis, kan niet geheel algemeen toegepast worden.

Leiden, Comm. N°. 139e.

III.

Het is waarschijnlijk, dat uit magnetische metingen belangrijke gegevens over den bouw van paramagnetische kristallen kunnen verkregen worden.

Dit proefschrift, p. 88.
IV.

De proeven van Rankine over de viscositeit van gassen pleiten niet meer voor het atoommodel van Langmuir dan voor dat van Bohr.


V.

De audion-methode voor het bepalen van dielectriciteitsconstanten, beschreven door Pungs en Preuner verdient de voorkeur boven die van Jona.


VI.

Het zuur-radikaal in esters schijnt slechts weinig bij te dragen tot de waarde van hunne dielectriciteitsconstanten.

Jackson, Phil. Mag., 43, 481, 1922.

VII.

Systemen van gekoppelde slingers kunnen op doeltreffende wijze dienen als mechanische analogieën bij de verklaring van de eigenschappen van oscillerende electrische stromen in gesloten ketens.

VIII.

De aanwezigheid van „reversed halo’s” in ytterby-mica wijst er op, dat de temperatuur van de mica eens aanzienlijk hooger moet geweest zijn, dan die waarbij het gevormd is.


IX.

De theorie van Rayleigh van de proef van Melde is onvolledig.


Krisnaiyar, Phil. Mag., 43, 503, 1922.

X.

De abnormale getijden in het kanaal van Bristol kunnen op eenvoudige wijze verklaard worden door eene beschouwing van de getijden in een kanaal van rechthoekige doorsnede, waarvan de diepte en de breedte evenredig aan de lengte afnemen.


XI.

De bewering van Mc. Lennan en Lowe, dat de theorie van Sommerfeld over de fijne structuur van de lijnen van Balmer onjuist zou zijn, is gebaseerd op foutieve waarnemingen.


XII.

De theorie van Gans, volgens welke de susceptibiliteit van een diamagnetische stof tot op zekere hoogte afhankelijk zou zijn van de veldsterkte, is niet in overeenstemming met de experimentele feiten, aangezien de waarde der uitkomsten, die door sommige onderzoekers zijn verkregen en die oogenschijnlijk de theorie bevestigen, verminderd is door de aanwezigheid van sporen van ferromagnetisch materiaal in de door hem onderzochte stoffen.

Honda, Ibid., 32, 1027, 1910.
Frivold, Ibid, 57, 471, 1918; 68, 356, 1922.